The erosive power of the Malvinas Current: Influence of bottom currents on morpho-sedimentary features along the northern Argentine margin (SW Atlantic Ocean)

Henriette Wilckens, Elda Miramontes, Tilmann Schwenk, Camila Artana, Wenyan Zhang, Alberto Piola, Michele Baques, Christine Provost, F. Javier Hernández-Molina, Meret Felgendreher, et al.

► To cite this version:

Henriette Wilckens, Elda Miramontes, Tilmann Schwenk, Camila Artana, Wenyan Zhang, et al.. The erosive power of the Malvinas Current: Influence of bottom currents on morpho-sedimentary features along the northern Argentine margin (SW Atlantic Ocean). *Marine Geology*, Elsevier, 2021, 439, pp.106539. 10.1016/j.margeo.2021.106539 . hal-03371687

HAL Id: hal-03371687

<https://hal-cnrs.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03371687>

Submitted on 15 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Marine Geology

The erosive power of the Malvinas Current: Influence of bottom currents on morpho-sedimentary features along the northern Argentine Margin (SW Atlantic Ocean) --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	MARGO-D-21-00047
Article Type:	VSI:Contourites
Keywords:	Contour current; Deep-water environment; Sediment drift; Contourite Depositional Systems; Sediment transport, Argentine Margin
Corresponding Author:	Henriette Wilckens University of Bremen: Universitat Bremen Bremen, GERMANY
First Author:	Henriette Wilckens
Order of Authors:	Henriette Wilckens Elda Miramontes Tilman Schwenk Camila Artana Wenyan Zhang Alberto Piola Michele Baques Christine Provost F. Javier Hernández-Molina Meret Felgendreher Volkhard Spieß Sabine Kasten
Abstract:	<p>Sediment deposits formed mainly under the influence of bottom currents (contourites) are widely used as high-resolution archives for reconstructing past ocean conditions. However, the driving processes of Contourite Depositional Systems (CDS) are not entirely understood. The aim of this study is to establish a clearer link between contourite features and the oceanographic processes that form them. The morphosedimentary characteristics of a large CDS were analysed together with the current dynamics along the continental margin off northern Argentina. This study combines multibeam bathymetry, seismo-acoustic data, sediment cores, vessel-mounted Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (VM-ADCP) data and numerical modelling of ocean currents.</p> <p>The contouritic features include large contourite terraces (La Plata Terrace, Ewing Terrace) and an abraded surface connecting the terraces, as well as smaller erosional and depositional features like moats, erosion surfaces on the Ewing Terrace, sediment waves and contourite drifts. Measured and modelled near-bottom currents are strong (up to 63 cm/s at 150 - 350 m above the seafloor) where abraded surfaces and moats are present, and weak (below 30 cm/s) on the La Plata Terrace and the Ewing Terrace. Generally, bottom currents follow the upper and middle slope morphology. Decreasing velocity of water masses flowing northward leads to less erosion and finer sediment deposits. ADCP data and the hydrodynamic model show the formation of eddies near the seafloor which probably lead to the small erosion surfaces on the Ewing Terrace, even though it is mainly a depositional environment. Furthermore, modelled data show that a subsurface branch of the Malvinas Current continues flowing northwards (~36°S) beyond the surface confluence zone between the Malvinas Current and the Brazil Current. Overall, this study contributes to a better understanding of the formation of CDS and can help future reconstructions of past ocean conditions based on sedimentary structures.</p>

Suggested Reviewers:	<p>Marta Ribó University of Auckland m.ribo@auckland.ac.nz Marta Ribó is a marine geologist with experience on evaluating sedimentary processes together with their hydrodynamics control. She has previously studied sediment transport and deposition mechanisms in a deep-water environment. Her skillset qualifies her to give constructive feedback in all chapters of our study.</p>
	<p>Amanda Thran UNSW: University of New South Wales m.thran@unsw.edu.au Amanda Thran has expert knowledge about numerical modelling of hydrodynamic and sedimentary processes on passive margins. She worked on the controls on the global distribution of contourite drifts. I would like to suggest her as a potential referee because her previous study aimed in further understanding the same processes as our new study.</p>
	<p>Adriano R. Viana Petróleo Brasileiro SA: Petrobras aviana@petrobras.com.br Adriano R. Viana research include Marine Geology, Margin Geotectonics, Multiphysics Methods and Basin Analysis. His work included analysed of Deep-water contourite systems and their control of bottom currents. I would like to suggest him as a potential referee because of interdisciplinary work and knowledge of contourite systems.</p>
	<p>Dmitrii Borisov FSBIS P P Shirshov Institute of Oceanology of the Russian Academy of Sciences: FGBUN Institut okeanologii imeni P P Sirsova Rossijskoj akademii nauk dborisov@ocean.ru Dmitrii Borisov is an expert in contourites and with knowledge in the working area of South Atlantic. He also has knowledge about Oceanography.</p>
	<p>Leticia Burone Universidad de la Republica Uruguay lburone@fcien.edu.uy Leticia Burone work includes the disciplines of Oceanography, Marine Ecology, Sedimentology. She previously worked on hydrological and morphological controls on surface sedimentation. Furthermore, she previously worked at the South American margin and knows the unique oceanographic setting.</p>
	<p>Tove Nielsen Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS) tni@geus.dk Tove Nielsen work included the analyses of Sedimentary processes and contourites with a focus on Paleontology.</p>

Highlights:

- Near-bottom currents are strong (up to 63 cm/s) over abraded surfaces and moats
- Near-bottom currents are weak (below 30 cm/s) on La Plata and the Ewing Terrace
- Formation of eddies near the seafloor probably lead to small erosion surfaces
- Sediment transport is associated with high-velocity alongslope bottom-current jets
- Model indicates: near the seafloor Malvinas Current splits in 3 branches at $\sim 39^{\circ}\text{S}$

The erosive power of the Malvinas Current: Influence of bottom currents on morpho-sedimentary features along the northern Argentine Margin (SW Atlantic Ocean)

Henriette Wilckens ^{a,b,*}, Elda Miramontes ^{a,b}, Tilmann Schwenk ^a, Camila Artana ^c, Wenyan Zhang ^d, Alberto Piola ^{e,f}, Michele Baques ^{e,g}, Christine Provost ^h, F. Javier Hernández-Molina ⁱ, Meret Felgendreher ^j, Volkhard Spieß ^a, Sabine Kasten ^{a,b,k}

^a Faculty of Geosciences, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

^b MARUM - Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, University of Bremen, Germany

^c MERCATOR-Océan, Parc Technologique du Canal, 8-10 rue Hermès, Ramonville Saint Agne, France.

^d Institute of Coastal Research, Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Geesthacht, Germany

^e Departamento Oceanografía, Servicio de Hidrografía Naval, Buenos Aires, Argentina

^f Instituto Franco-Argentino para el Estudio del Clima y sus Impactos (UMI-IFAECI/CNRS-CONICET-UBA), Buenos Aires, Argentina

^g Acoustic Propagation Department, Argentinian Navy Research Office and UNIDEF (National Council of Scientific and Technical Research - Ministry of Defense), Buenos Aires, Argentina

^h Laboratoire LOCEAN-IPSL, Sorbonne Universités (UPMC, Univ. Paris 6) CNRS-IRD-MNHN, Paris, France

ⁱ Department of Earth Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, UK

^j Department of Geosciences, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany

^k Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

* Corresponding author: Henriette Wilckens: cwilcken@uni-bremen.de

Abstract:

Sediment deposits formed mainly under the influence of bottom currents (contourites) are widely used as high-resolution archives for reconstructing past ocean conditions. However, the driving processes of Contourite Depositional Systems (CDS) are not entirely understood. The aim of this study is to establish a clearer link between contourite features and the oceanographic processes that form them. The morphosedimentary characteristics of a large CDS were analysed together with the current dynamics along the continental margin off northern Argentina. This study combines multibeam bathymetry, seismo-acoustic data, sediment cores, vessel-mounted Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (VM-ADCP) data and numerical modelling of ocean currents.

The contouritic features include large contourite terraces (La Plata Terrace, Ewing Terrace) and an abraded surface connecting the terraces, as well as smaller erosional and depositional features like moats, erosion surfaces on the Ewing Terrace, sediment waves and contourite drifts. Measured and modelled near-bottom currents are strong (up to 63 cm/s at

150 - 350 m above the seafloor) where abraded surfaces and moats are present, and weak (below 30 cm/s) on the La Plata Terrace and the Ewing Terrace. Generally, bottom currents follow the upper and middle slope morphology. Decreasing velocity of water masses flowing northward leads to less erosion and finer sediment deposits. ADCP data and the hydrodynamic model show the formation of eddies near the seafloor which probably lead to the small erosion surfaces on the Ewing Terrace, even though it is mainly a depositional environment. Furthermore, modelled data show that a subsurface branch of the Malvinas Current continues flowing northwards ($\sim 36^{\circ}\text{S}$) beyond the surface confluence zone between the Malvinas Current and the Brazil Current. Overall, this study contributes to a better understanding of the formation of CDS and can help future reconstructions of past ocean conditions based on sedimentary structures.

Keywords: Contour current; Deep-water environment; Sediment drift; Contourite Depositional Systems; Sediment transport, Argentine Margin

1 Introduction

Continental margins can be shaped by ocean currents, which influence sediment erosion and deposition even at large scales (Heezen, 1959; Heezen and Hollister, 1964; Stow et al., 2009). Sediment deposits formed mainly under the influence of bottom currents (i.e. currents flowing near the seafloor) are classified as contourites (Rebesco and Camerlenghi, 2008; Rebesco et al., 2014). These currents often supply oxygen and nutrients favouring the development of deep-sea ecosystems with high biodiversity, for instance cold-water corals are often found in contourite depositional systems (Hebbeln et al., 2016; Steinmann et al., 2020). Bottom currents that lead to large sediment deposits can also control the distribution of microplastics and lead to hotspots in the same area where biodiversity is high which is a possible threat for marine ecosystems (Kane et al., 2020). Furthermore, contourites are important for several fields including paleoclimatology and palaeoceanography, risk management regarding slope instabilities and hydrocarbon exploration (Rebesco et al., 2014;

Hernández-Molina et al., 2018). Many physical oceanographic processes, ranging from low-frequency quasi-steady geostrophic currents, sub-inertial oscillations, tides to high-frequency internal waves, have potentially significant impact on the morphogenesis and evolution of contourites. On a long time scale, the development of contourites is subject to climate-induced change in thermohaline circulation and isostatic movements (e.g. tectonics). However, it is still not clear how these multi-scale processes interact and control the formation and evolution of contourites. Understanding the present deposition mechanisms is necessary for the reconstruction of past ocean conditions based on the geological record (Surlyk and Lykke-Andersen, 2007; Preu et al., 2012; Betzler et al., 2013).

A large Contourite Depositional System (CDS) has been recognised along the northern margin of Argentina and Uruguay (Hernández-Molina et al., 2009; 2016a; Preu et al., 2012; 2013). The CDS includes three large contourite terraces that have been documented along the continental margin off the Río de la Plata Estuary (northern Argentina) in close proximity to the Mar del Plata (MdP) submarine Canyon (Preu et al., 2012; 2013). The study area is located in the confluence zone of the northward flowing Malvinas Current and the southward flowing Brazil Current (Fig. 1; Artana et al., 2019b; Piola and Matano, 2019). This complex oceanographic setting makes it an interesting study area for analysing the influence of currents on contourite formation. In this study we use a multidisciplinary approach based on multibeam bathymetry, hydroacoustic data, sediment cores, vessel-mounted Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (VM-ADCP) data, Conductivity, Temperature and Depth (CTD) data and 25 years of high-resolution ocean reanalysis. The main aim of the study is to derive further insights into basic physical oceanographic mechanisms that control the formation of large-scale contourites in such a complex and unique oceanographic configuration. More specifically, this multidisciplinary project has the following three main goals: 1) to report on the characteristics of near-bottom currents in the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence Zone; 2) to discuss differences within the contourite system regarding seafloor morphology, sediment architecture and grain size; 3) to interpret and discuss the oceanographic processes that may contribute to the formation of the observed contouritic features.

96

97 **2 Regional setting**

98 **2.1 Oceanographic framework**

99 Strong ocean currents are present along the continental margin of Argentina and Uruguay
100 from the surface down to 2000 m water depth (Piola and Matano, 2019). The Malvinas Current
101 (MC) transports cold and nutrient rich waters northwards. The Brazil current flows southwards
102 along the continental slope and transports warmer, saltier waters and is shallower than the
103 Malvinas Current (Piola and Matano, 2019). The study area is located in the region where
104 these two boundary currents encounter, forming the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence Zone. On
105 average, the axis of the confluence zone is situated at an approximate latitude of 38°S (Gordon
106 and Greengrove, 1986; Artana et al., 2019b; Piola and Matano, 2019). The confluence shows
107 large migrations at synoptic (800 km) and interannual (300 km) scales compared to rather
108 small seasonal changes (<150 km) (Artana et al., 2019b). Numerical simulations suggest that
109 at the confluence the Malvinas Current splits into two branches at the sea surface (Provost et
110 al., 1995; Artana et al., 2019b). The offshore branch joins the Brazil Current and detaches
111 from the continental slope while the inner branch subducts and continues flowing northward
112 along the upper continental slope (Artana et al., 2019b).

113 Malvinas and Brazil Current refer to the continuous horizontal movement of water, they can
114 be composed of different water masses which are defined by their different chemical
115 composition and can be identified based on the potential temperature, salinity and dissolved
116 oxygen (Maamaatuaiahutapu et al., 1994). The northward flowing water masses at the
117 continental slope from sea surface to bottom are Subantarctic Surface Water (SASW),
118 Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW), Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW) and the Antarctic
119 Bottom Water (AABW) (Preu et al., 2013; Piola and Matano, 2019). The southward flowing
120 water masses are Tropical Water, South Atlantic Central Water (SACW), AAIW and North
121 Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) (Preu et al., 2013; Valla et al., 2018; Piola and Matano, 2019).
122 Southward flowing AAIW is an older (saltier and less oxygenated) variety of the AAIW
123 recirculated around the South Atlantic subtropical gyre (Valla et al., 2018). The NADW flows

southward between Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW) and Lower Circumpolar Deep Water (LCDW) (Reid et al., 1977; Piola and Matano, 2019). The depth of the interfaces between the water masses varies with time and between locations. In close proximity to the MdP Canyon the interfaces between AAIW, UCDW, NADW, LCDW and AABW are located at 1200, 2000, 3200, 3800 m, respectively (Preu et al., 2013). The zone of interest in this study (located at 450-1400 m water depth) is mainly under the influence of the AAIW (identified with a salinity minimum and a dissolved oxygen maximum) and the UCDW (identified with a dissolved oxygen minimum) (Fig. 1B and 1C, Preu et al., 2013). The interface between the UCDW and the NADW is characterised by an increase of salinity and dissolved oxygen decrease with depth (Fig. 1B and 1C). This modern ocean circulation and stratification pattern was established during the Middle Miocene after the onset of the (paleo-) NADW circulation in the southern hemisphere, which significantly influenced the formation of the CDS (Preu et al., 2012).

2.2 Geological setting

The study area is located at the passive volcanic-rifted continental margin of north Argentina, offshore the Río de la Plata estuary formed during the Cretaceous period (Fig. 1A; Hinz et al., 1999; Franke et al., 2007). The rivers flowing into the Río de la Plata, together with the Colorado and Negro rivers that are located further south, are the main sources of sediments to the continental margin (Giberto et al., 2004; Voigt et al., 2013; Razik et al., 2015a). Frenz et al. (2003a) and Razik et al. (2015a) analysed the sediment grain size of surface sediments from the SE South American margin and suggested that sedimentation and grain size distribution on the margins of Argentinian and Uruguayan is strongly controlled by the oceanic circulation. The continental slope is composed of contourites, forming a large Contourite Depositional System (CDS) composed of moats/channels, contouritic terraces, abraded surfaces and sediment drift deposits (Urien and Ewing, 1974; Hernández-Molina et al., 2009; 2016a; Krastel et al., 2011; Preu et al., 2012; 2013; Voigt et al., 2016; Warratz et al., 2017; 2019). At the southern Argentine margin 4 terraces (i.e. relatively flat surfaces) are

present: Nágera Terrace at ~500 m depth, the Perito Moreno Terrace at ~1000 m depth, the Piedra Buena Terrace at ~2500 m depth and the Valentin Feilberg Terrace at ~3500 m depth (Hernández-Molina et al., 2009). Nágera Terrace, Perito Moreno and Valentin Feilberg Terrace can be followed further to the north but in the northern part of the margin they are known as La Plata Terrace at ~500 m depth, the Ewing Terrace at ~1200 m depth and the Necochea Terrace at ~3500 m depth (Urien and Ewing, 1974; Preu et al., 2013). Seismic data shows that the La Plata Terrace is much wider south of the MdP Canyon compared to the north (Preu et al., 2013). The La Plata Terrace is deeper (~500 m) south of the MdP Canyon compared to the north where it is located at shallower depth (~400 m) (Preu et al., 2013). Preu et al. (2012) reconstructed the evolution of the internal stratigraphy of the Ewing Terrace in close proximity of the MdP Canyon from Oligocene to modern times. Contourite terraces can show depositional and erosional features and often correspond to the landward part of plastered drifts (Hernández-Molina et al., 2016a; Thiéblemont et al., 2019). Part of the Ewing Terrace is associated with plastered drifts at the basinward side, but at the La Plata Terrace no plastered drifts could be recognised (Hernández-Molina et al., 2009; Preu et al., 2013). Two channels were found in the landward side of the Ewing Terrace that were recently reclassified as moats due to the evidence of sedimentation and its association with a separated mounded drift (Fig. 2; Bozzano et al., 2011; 2020; Preu et al., 2012; 2013; Voigt et al., 2013; Steinmann et al., 2020). Steinmann et al. (2020) described the southern moat for the purpose of analysing cold-water corals in close proximity to this moat. In the moat, current speeds decreasing from south to north between 3 and 52 cm/s have been reported (Steinmann et al., 2020). Bozzano et al. (2020) described several morphological depressions in which dropstones lie, possibly with an origin from the Antarctic Peninsula and Subantarctic islands.

The prominent MdP Canyon crosses the Ewing Terrace between 1000 - 4000 m water depth (Krastel et al., 2011). The canyon is disconnected from the continental shelf and it has been excluded that it ever had a direct connection (Krastel et al., 2011). Turbidity currents in the canyon were only active from the Last Glacial Maximum to the late deglacial (Warratz et

al., 2019). During sea-level high stands, most of the sediments from the Río de la Plata plume were transported northwards and did not directly reach the zone around the MdP Canyon (Razik et al., 2015a). The MdP Canyon acts in part as a sediment trap for sediments transported along the Ewing Terrace by bottom currents (Voigt et al., 2013; Warratz et al., 2019).

3 Materials and methods

3.1 Oceanographic dataset

Ocean velocities were measured with a 38 kHz vessel-mounted Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (VM-ADCP) during the R/V SONNE cruise SO260 in January-February 2018 (Kasten et al., 2019). These short-term measurements are used to understand small-scale oceanographic phenomena (e.g. eddies and local acceleration) and changes in bottom currents that can be linked to the underlying morphology. However, these data cannot show seasonal or interannual variations. The data were processed with the Cascade V7.2 software, leading to a horizontal grid cell size of 1 km and vertical grid cell size of 16 m. For analyses of the currents near the sea-surface, the average velocity between 50 and 150 m depth below sea surface was calculated. For analyses of the near-bottom current the average velocity between 150 and 350 m above seafloor was calculated. Below 150 m above seafloor the data quality is too poor to be used with confidence (similar to Steinmann et al. (2020)). However, Steinmann et al. (2020) showed that the selection of this level is a reasonable approximation of bottom currents since, in this particular area, the vertical shear of the along-slope velocity between these depths is low. The maximum range of the 38 kHz VM-ADCP system in this area was about 1500 m. In areas where the seafloor lies below the range of the instrument (e.g inside the MdP Canyon), no analyses of bottom currents is possible.

The Conductivity, Temperature and Depth (CTD) data were acquired using a Sea-Bird 9.11 plus and were used to identify the different water masses in the study area at the time of the R/V SONNE cruise SO260 (Fig. 1) (Kasten et al., 2019). Typically, the CTD profiles were collected to 50 m above the ocean floor.

The direct current observations are only useful to depict the circulation over a limited region at the time of the cruise. To better understand the large-scale and long-term circulation we use high-resolution ocean reanalysis. The Mercator Ocean reanalysis (GLORYS12) delivers daily mean values (temperature, salinity, currents, sea-ice, and sea level) over the period 1993-2017 as part of the Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (CMEMS, <http://marine.copernicus.eu/>) and assimilates measurements (Lellouche et al., 2018; Artana et al., 2019a). The model uses the ETOPO bathymetry (Fig. S1 of the supplementary material). The reanalysis was validated with direct observations including current measurements at depth (Artana et al., 2018). The resolution of the model is $1/12^\circ$ in horizontal and 50 vertical levels. In the 450 and 1400 m water depth range the model vertical resolution varies between 80 and 200 m.

3.2 Geological and geophysical dataset

Multibeam bathymetry was acquired during cruise SO260 in 2018 with a hull-mounted Kongsberg Simrad system EM122 operating at a nominal frequency of 12 kHz (swath opening angle across track up to 150° , the opening angle of each beam is $0.5^\circ \times 1^\circ$, equidistant mode) (Kasten et al., 2019). Processing and gridding were carried out using the open-source software MB-Systems. This data set was used to construct a grid with a 25 m cell size for detailed analysis of two moats in the study area. A combined grid with a 100 m resolution was computed with MB-Systems (Fig. 2) using previously collected multibeam data acquired during R/V Meteor cruise M78/3 in 2009 (Kongsberg Simrad system EM120) and R/V Meteor cruise M49/2 in 2001 (Atlas Hydrosweep system DS2) for the analysis of the larger area. Data from the GEBCO grid (General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans; GEBCO Compilation Group (2020); <https://www.gebco.net/>) at 15 arc-second intervals are used in areas where no multibeam bathymetry is available. The resulting bathymetric grid has been visualised with the open-source software QGIS (QGIS 3.12).

For detailed analyses of sub-bottom morpho-sedimentary features, we used sediment echosounder data collected with a hull-mounted narrow-beam parametric PARASOUND P70

system during cruise SO260 (Kasten et al., 2019). The PARASOUND system makes use of the parametric effect to produce a secondary low frequency based on two primary high frequencies (for details, see Grant and Schreiber (1990)). For the analyses of the seafloor, the secondary low frequency, which was set to 4 kHz, is used. This results in a vertical resolution of a few decimetres. A despiking algorithm was applied to remove noise bursts from crosstalk with other sounding systems using the software package 'VISTA Desktop Seismic Data Processing Software' (Schlumberger). To enhance reflector coherency, the envelope was calculated and visualised with 'The Kingdom Software' (IHS Markit).

The high-resolution multi-channel reflection seismic data set was acquired during R/V Meteor Cruise M49/2 in 2001 (Spieß et al., 2002). The seismic data were previously analysed in Preu et al. (2012) and Preu et al. (2013). In this study, the two longest available seismic profiles on both sides of the MdP Canyon are used for a joint interpretation of the seafloor sedimentary structures together with oceanographic results from model outputs. These profiles are most representative because they cover the entire La Plata Terrace and Ewing Terrace and are perpendicular to the flow direction making it easier to understand the geology and oceanography together. Seismic profiles were acquired with an analogue streamer from the University of Bremen. The streamer has 96 channels over a length of 600 m. As an acoustic source, a 1.7 L GI-Gun (TMSODERA) with a main frequency of 100–500 Hz was used. This results in a vertical resolution of a few metres. The data set was processed with the software package 'VISTA Desktop Seismic Data Processing Software' (Schlumberger) following standard seismic procedures including bandpass filtering and common mid-point (CMP) binning. CMP bin size varies among profiles between 5 and 10 m depending on data quality and coverage. After the CMP stacking a residual static correction and finite-difference time migration was calculated (Preu et al., 2012; 2013). For interpretation of the data, the software package 'The Kingdom Software' (IHS Markit) was used.

Sediment samples were collected during the R/V SONNE cruise SO260 and the R/V Meteor cruise M78/3 using different sampling methods: giant box corer, multicorer, grab

sampler and gravity corer (Krastel and Wefer, 2012; Kasten et al., 2019). Grain size analyses were performed on bulk sediment samples with a Beckman Coulter Laser LS 13 320 at MARUM laboratories using Sodium hexametaphosphate as a dispersant. We also used grain size measurements from previous studies to get a better understanding of the overall sediment dynamics, which are available on PANGAEA (Frenz et al. (2003b) <https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.95396>; and Razik et al. (2015b) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2015.03.001>).

3.3 Nomenclature

For classifying the different observed contouritic features, we follow the nomenclature of Faugères et al. (1999), Stow et al. (2002b) and Rebesco et al. (2014). Plastered drifts are usually located on a gentle slope and are characterised by a broad, slightly mounded and convex geometry. They are associated with contourite terraces on the landward side, which are relatively flat surfaces. The limits of the contouritic terraces are marked by a significant increase in the slope gradient over a distance of several kilometres. In the seismic and Parasound data the limits are further identified as either an abraded/erosion surface or a transition to a plastered drift. Separated mounded drifts are more mounded than plastered drifts and often associated with steeper slopes, from which they are detached by a distinct erosional contourite channel or a non-depositional moat (Rebesco et al., 2014).

For the names of the different features in this region (e.g. terraces and canyons), we follow the widely accepted nomenclature of previous papers that described these structures to some extent (Urien and Ewing, 1974; Hernández-Molina et al., 2009; 2016a; Krastel et al., 2011; Preu et al., 2012; 2013; Voigt et al., 2016; Warratz et al., 2017; 2019). The southern moat in the study area was named Ewing Terrace Moat by Steinmann et al. (2020) and is here referred to as Ewing Terrace Moat 1 (ET-Moat 1). As our study area extends further north and includes a second moat located north of the MdP Canyon that is also up-slope the Ewing Terrace, we named it Ewing Terrace Moat 2 (ET-Moat 2). The ET-Moat 2 was previously named La Plata Terrace Moat (Bozzano et al., 2020). Since this moat is disconnected from

the La Plata Terrace by an erosional surface visible in seismic and Parasound data we find it more accurate to name it ET-Moat 2. The term 'bottom current' is used in a general way for all currents flowing near the seafloor and does not refer to any specific origin, flow direction or velocity (Rebesco and Camerlenghi, 2008).

4 Results

4.1 Modelled bottom currents

Simulated bottom currents averaged over 25 years show that the dominant flow direction is towards the N-NE (Figs. 3A and 4). The Malvinas Current affects most of the upper and middle slope down to depths of about 1600 m south of the MdP Canyon and about 1300 m north of the canyon (Figs. 3A and 5). In the model the Malvinas Current splits near the seafloor (SW part of study area) into three branches, here referred to as MC 1, MC 2 and MC 3 (Figs. 3A and 5). The strongest mean bottom currents in the study area, reaching up to 25 cm/s, are located at about 1000 m water depth in the zone where the Malvinas Current splits into three branches (Fig. 3A). MC 1 flows along the shelf edge and upper slope (at 200 m water depth), along the La Plata Terrace, with average near-bottom current speeds of 8 cm/s. MC 2 flows along the slope (abraded surface ~700 m) connecting the La Plata Terrace and the Ewing Terrace with an average speed of 8 cm/s (Figs. 3A and 5). MC 1 and 2 remerge downstream of the La Plata Terrace, west of MdP Canyon. These inner branches of the Malvinas Current (MC 1+2) flow in the NE direction to ~36°S. North of this latitude bottom currents are mainly driven by the Brazil Current and flow south-eastwards at the shelf edge and upper slope (Fig. 3A). The deepest branch of the Malvinas Current (MC 3) flows northeastward along the Ewing Terrace (~1200 m) and decreases in speed from 25 to 5 cm/s (over 60 km) as the terrace widens and the slope orientation changes to north-south (Fig. 3A). The three Malvinas Current branches observed near the seafloor do not always extend upwards to the sea surface. The two offshore Malvinas Current branches flowing over the abraded surface (MC2) and over the basinward limit of the Ewing Terrace (MC3) have their maximum northeastward velocity at about 500 and 1000 m water depth, respectively, and their velocity sharply decreases towards

the sea surface (Fig. 5). Thus, the current velocity of MC2 and MC3 can be higher close to the bottom than at shallower depths.

The Malvinas Current affects different water masses in the study area, based on model results of the potential density: the SASW from the surface down to ~500 m water depth, the AAIW from ~500 to ~1100 m and the UCDW below ~1100 m (Figs. 4 and 5). The shallowest branch of the Malvinas Current (MC 1) contains SASW, while the intermediate branch (MC 2) contains AAIW and the deepest branch (MC 3) is at the interface between the AAIW and the UCDW (Figs. 4 and 5). The interface between the AAIW and the UCDW is located at the Ewing Terrace (Fig. 4). On average all water masses (SASW and AAIW) over the La Plata Terrace flow towards the N-NE (Fig. 4). Similarly, the mean bottom currents at the Ewing Terrace also flow towards the N-NE (Figs. 3A and 4). The influence of the Brazil Current, which flows towards the S-SE, is only noticeable close to the sea surface in the region above the Ewing Terrace (Fig. 4). The MdP Canyon influences the bottom current flow direction, leading to redirection of N-NE flowing water to the NE (Fig. 3B).

The standard deviation of modelled bottom currents over 25 years reaches 16 cm/s over the abyssal plane below 4000 m water depth and is lower over the shelf edge and continental slope, where it is mostly lower than 5 cm/s (Fig. 3B). The variability in flow speed on the La Plata Terrace is lower than 5 cm/s. In contrast, the variability in flow speed over the Ewing Terrace is up to 10 cm/s, being the highest on the offshore part of the terrace near the MdP Canyon (Fig. 3A). High bottom current variability in this part of the Ewing Terrace is related to changes in current direction and speed. Bottom currents modelled over one month (January-February 2012) indicate that the deep branch of the Malvinas Current does not extend north of 39°S. During that time the Ewing Terrace, and especially the offshore part at 1500-2000 m water depth, is affected by southward-flowing bottom currents that exceed 35 cm/s. The interaction of this southward flowing bottom current and of the MC 2 with the seafloor topography results in the formation of a cyclonic eddy centred in the southern part of the Ewing Terrace (Fig. 3C). In contrast, bottom currents over the La Plata Terrace show a similar pattern

during January-February 2012 compared the 25-year average, although bottom current speeds are considerably higher during this short period of time, reaching 20 cm/s north of the MdP Canyon (Fig. 3C).

4.2 Direct current observations

The VM-ADCP data close to the sea surface show generally a strong (>40 cm/s) northward current in the region over the abraded surface connecting the La Plata Terrace with the Ewing Terrace and the Ewing Terrace south of the MdP Canyon, corresponding to the Malvinas Current (Fig. 6A). In the region above the deeper part of the MdP Canyon surface currents are strong (>50 cm/s) and flow in a southward direction, corresponding to the Brazil Current. Over the Ewing Terrace north of the MdP Canyon, the velocity is generally lower and the flow direction is more variable compared to the region south of the MdP Canyon because it corresponds to the confluence zone between the Malvinas and Brazil currents (Fig. 6A).

Generally, near-bottom currents are lower than surface currents (Fig. 6). Similar to the reanalysis, stronger near-bottom currents were measured at the abraded surface and slower currents over the contourite terraces (Fig. 6B). The speed over the Ewing Terrace south of the MdP Canyon is higher (16 cm/s) than north of the MdP Canyon (11 cm/s) (Table 1). During the cruise, the average speed over the abraded surface was 26 cm/s. Inside ET-Moat 1 and ET-Moat 2 bottom currents were even higher, reaching average speeds of 30 and 31 cm/s (Table 1). In all three locations, the velocity decreased northeastwards (Fig. 6). The average measured near-bottom current inside the moats is very similar but the standard deviation in ET-Moat 2 is almost twice as high as in ET-Moat 1 (Table 1). The velocity at the SW part of ET-Moat 2 is higher than at ET-Moat 1. The velocity decreases faster in the northward direction in ET-Moat 2 than it does in ET-Moat 1 (Fig. 6B).

Over ET-Moat 1 the velocity is higher close to the bottom (800-1000 m) than at mid-depth (400-800 m) (Fig. 7A). In contrast, currents above the abraded surface generally decrease with increasing depth (Fig. 7B). The profile perpendicular to the ET-Moat 1 confirms that the

higher velocity at depths is only a local feature within the moat and does not affect the entire water column (Fig. 8A). Furthermore, this profile shows lower velocities over the Ewing Terrace (Fig. 8A). The near-bottom flow at 7-15 km distance along the profile turns by 180° and thus flows southward. This flow reversal occurs only close to the seafloor and does not reach the sea surface (Fig. 8B). In this turn, the speed increases and is locally up to 20 cm/s near the seafloor (Fig. 8A).

Table 1: Average mean speed for different areas: the Ewing Terrace south and north of the MdP Canyon, the slope connecting the La Plata Terrace with the Ewing Terrace (abraded surface) and the moats south and north of the MdP Canyon (ET-Moat 1 and 2):

	Mean speed: ADCP measurement [cm/s]	Standard deviation: ADCP measurement [cm/s]
Ewing Terrace South	16	8
Ewing Terrace North	11	6
Abraded surface	26	11
ET-Moat 1 (south)	30	8
ET-Moat 2 (north)	31	15

4.3 Seafloor morphology and sediment architecture

The upper and middle slope of the northern Argentine continental margin are characterised by the presence of two contourite terraces separated by an abraded surface and a plastered drift associated with the deeper contourite terrace (Ewing Terrace) (Fig. 2). The La Plata Terrace is the shallowest contourite terrace. South of the MdP Canyon, it is located at 500-600 m water depth, has a width of ~40 km and an average slope of 0.3°. North of the MdP Canyon the width of the La Plata Terrace decreases drastically (Fig. 5A). The abraded surface that separates both terraces has a width of 25 km, an average slope of 0.7° and is characterised by truncations (Fig. 5B). The characteristics of the Ewing Terrace change north and south of the MdP Canyon. South of the MdP Canyon, it is located at 1000-1400 m, between the abraded surface and the plastered drift. The terrace deepens towards the MdP Canyon. It is ~40 km wide and has a convex morphology due to the presence of a mounded

deposit on top of the plastered drift that creates a topographic high. North of the MdP Canyon, the Ewing Terrace is located at 800-1400 m between the abraded surface landwards and the plastered drifts basinwards (Fig. 5A). It is ~70 km wide and has an average slope of 0.4°. The limit of the Ewing Terrace, that transitions into the plastered drift, is marked by an increase in slope, from 0.4° to >1° (Fig. 5).

Two moats and parallel separated mounded drifts are located at the landward side of the Ewing Terrace (Fig. 9). ET-Moat 1 is located in a much deeper water depth (~1150 m) than ET-Moat 2 (~775 m). Even though the moats are located in different water depths, they show several similarities regarding length (95 km in ET-Moat 1 and 70 km in ET-Moat 2), max. width (7 km in ET-Moat 1 and 6 km in ET-Moat 2 m) and max. depth relative to the basinward mounded drift (100 m in ET-Moat 1 and 90 m in ET-Moat 2) (Table 2). Both moats widen towards the N-NE and water depth increases in the same direction. However, the depth between the bottom of the moats and the top of the separated mounded drift increases northward for ET-Moat 1 and decreases for ET-Moat 2. Internal terraces and scours are only visible inside ET-Moat 1 (Figs. 9A and 10B).

Table 2: Key parameters of ET-Moat 1 (south of MdP Canyon) and ET-Moat 2 (north of MdP Canyon):

	ET-Moat 1	ET-Moat 2
Length (SW-NE direction)	95 km	70 km
Max. width (NW-SE direction)	7 km	6 km
Water depth	1000 - 1300 m	700 - 850 m
Max. depth relative to basinward mounded drift	100 m (deeper in NE)	90 m (deeper in SW)

South of the MdP Canyon, truncating parallel reflections are visible in the Parasound data within the abraded surface, as well as in the landward slope and in the deepest point of ET-Moat 1 (Fig. 10B). In contrast, north of the MdP Canyon, Parasound penetration in ET-Moat 2

and on its landward slope is very limited, and no reflections are recognised (Fig. 10A). Small separated mounded drifts are identified associated with the moats and are located basinwards. These contourite drifts become larger towards the N-NE (Figs. 9 and 10). The mounded drift related to ET-Moat 1 shows continuous reflections with a sigmoidal to oblique, landward progradation pattern (Fig. 10B). The bottom boundary of the separated mounded drifts is characterised by a large erosive surface that affected the moat and part of the contourite terrace. The separated mounded drift mainly developed in the space adjacent to the moat previously generated by the erosion. Truncations inside the separated mounded drift are abundant, suggesting frequent phases of erosion and drift construction. Adjacent to ET-Moat 2 the reflections are continuous with a sigmoidal, landward progradation pattern (Fig. 10A). Further offshore of the contourite drift, a small unit with continuous reflections is deposited on top of an erosional surface (Fig. 10A). On the Ewing Terrace south of the MdP Canyon continuous reflections are imaged, sometimes interrupted by small erosional surfaces close to the seafloor (Fig. 10B).

Sediment waves are at the seaward edge of the Ewing Terrace south of the MdP Canyon (Fig. 9A). The crests of the sediment waves are oriented parallel to the slope and migrate basinwards (up-slope). These sediment waves are on top of a drift crest located between the Ewing Terrace and the plastered drift (Fig. 11C). The reflections of the sediment waves have good lateral continuity. They are located on top of an unconformity (showing several truncating reflections; Fig. 11A, B). The reflectivity below the sediment waves is generally low.

4.4 Sediment samples

The grain size of surface sediments in general decreases northwards and with increasing depth. All analysed samples on the contourite terraces (excluding the moats) can be divided in three regions with similar sediment grain size: La Plata Terrace, Ewing Terrace south of the MdP Canyon and Ewing Terrace north of the MdP Canyon. The analyses show that the median grain size is coarser on the La Plata Terrace (average median grain size of 78 μm)

and on the Ewing Terrace south of the MdP Canyon (average median grain size of 103.2 μm) compared to the Ewing Terrace north of the MdP Canyon (average median grain size of 60.1 μm ; Fig. 12A). The grain size distribution on the La Plata Terrace is bimodal with a main mode at 106 μm and a second mode at 27 μm , and it is poorly sorted with a standard deviation of 3.67 μm . On the Ewing Terrace south of the MdP Canyon, the grain size distribution typically shows only one mode at 106 μm , and is poorly sorted with a standard deviation of 3.45 μm (Fig. 12B). In contrast, north of the MdP Canyon, the grain size distribution is typically bimodal with a main mode at 88 μm and a secondary mode at 20 μm , and it is poorly sorted with a standard deviation of 3.64 μm . Sediment grain size in the moats is coarser and can reach median values of 168 μm , but its variability is very high (Fig. 12C). Sediment sample 22712-3 (inside ET-Moat 1) also contains rock fragments with sizes up to 10 cm. Surface sediments in the plastered drift and inside the MdP Canyon are much finer, with median grain sizes below 63 μm and percentages of sand below 60% (Fig. 12A).

5 Discussion

5.1 Bottom current dynamics over the CDS

The Brazil-Malvinas Confluence Zone is a very dynamic area, where southward flowing water from the Brazil Current encounters northward flowing water from the Malvinas Current (Fig. 1; Piola et al., 2018; Artana et al., 2019b; Piola and Matano, 2019). Current velocity measurements can resolve local intensification of the current and the small eddies. This is very important for linking oceanographic processes with sedimentary features. However, they lack large-scale coverage and continuity over long periods of time, which is especially important in a highly variable area like the confluence zone. This gap can be filled by numerical modelling that allows us to extend our observations in space and time, and can thus improve the understanding of long-term mean currents and short-term variability. This is especially important to establish sediment patterns (here the CDS) in geological time scales. The long-term reanalysis covers a period of 25 years, however, contourites are developed over several thousands of years. Still, the model is very useful for understanding current dynamics since

the modern ocean circulation and stratification pattern was already established during the Middle Miocene (Preu et al., 2012). Even though the ocean circulation has changed to some extent in terms of strength or position since the Middle Miocene, but the patterns and processes that form the CDS have remained similar.

In the present study, we focus our analysis on the near-bottom currents and discuss the differences between currents near the seafloor and at the sea surface in the confluence zone. Numerical simulations indicate that at the sea surface in the confluence zone, the Malvinas Current splits into two branches: the outer branch veers offshore and mixes with the Brazil Current, while the inner branch subducts below the Brazil Current (Artana et al., 2019b). The model results show that on average the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence Zone between the northward flowing and the southward flowing currents is located further south near the surface (Fig. 3; Artana et al., 2019b). The Malvinas Current at 39°S near the seafloor further splits into three branches that flow along the contourite terraces and over the abraded surface located between the terraces, respectively (Fig. 14). The velocity of the two offshore Malvinas Current branches in the confluence zone greatly decreases towards the sea surface (Fig. 5). Direct measurements at 40-41°S indicate that the mean Malvinas Current has an equivalent barotropic structure (Vivier and Provost, 1999). This usually indicates more homogeneous velocities throughout the water column. Thus, the described changes in velocity within the Malvinas Current might be connected to the interaction of the Malvinas Current with the Brazil Current at the confluence and to the interaction with the seafloor morphology. An intensification of near-bottom currents was observed in the VM-ADCP measurements collected above ET-Moat 1 (Fig. 7A). In contrast, the branch of the Malvinas Current located at the shelf edge (MC1) shows higher velocities near the surface and decreases with depth, resulting in less impact on the seafloor morphology and sediment transport of the upper continental slope (Fig. 5).

The Malvinas Current affects different water masses (Fig 4). Preu et al. (2013) identified different water masses at the seafloor based on all available historical CTD data. The extent of the NADW interaction with the seafloor based on the historical observations derived by Preu

et al. (2013) is in good agreement with the southward near-bottom flow determined by the long-term average circulation derived from the ocean reanalysis (Fig. 13), suggesting that the model depicts a realistic near-bottom circulation.

The VM-ADCP measurements show similar flow patterns as the 25-year averaged model result but generally the measured speed is higher (Figs. 3 and 6), which might be expected since it displays instantaneous measurements. Thus, the differences in speed probably reflect the strong flow variability in the confluence zone. The 1-month simulation result (12/01/2012 to 14/02/2012) also shows higher bottom current velocity than the 25-year averaged model result (Fig. 3). It highlights the variability not only in current speed but also in current direction. When the Brazil Current is particularly strong, the flow direction over the Ewing Terrace can turn towards the south (Fig. 3C). On a seasonal scale the speed of the Malvinas Current is usually stronger during austral winter (June -Sep.) shifting the confluence further northward (Saraceno et al., 2005), than during summer (Nov. -Feb.). However, Paniagua et al. (2018) demonstrated that this is not always the case. They showed that the Malvinas Current was significantly stronger from early January to mid-April 2015 compared to mid-April to mid-August 2015 period. Even though these variations may not be relevant on a large scale, they may lead to local intensification of bottom currents with important implications for sediment transport (Fig. 3).

5.2 Formation of sedimentary features

Sediment dynamics

Generally, for a constant seafloor depth a decrease in near-bottom current speed is observed from south to north in both modelled and measured currents (Figs. 3 and 6). This agrees well with the northward decrease in sediment grain size of surface sediments (Fig. 12). Erosional surfaces and coarse grain size are generally an indication for strong bottom currents and contourite deposition, whereas fine grain size is rather a sign for weak bottom currents. In order to better understand whether the observed and modelled near-bottom currents would be capable of eroding and transporting sediment, we calculated the bottom

shear stress ($\tau = \rho u_*^2$, with seawater density ρ and friction velocity u_*) from VM-ADCP measurements. Observed bottom shear stresses reach critical shear stresses (0.13 - 0.17 N/m²) for the observed sediment grain sizes (60-130 μ m; according to critical shield parameter for motion initiation proposed by (Soulsby and Whitehouse, 1997)) along the slope between the La Plata Terrace and the Ewing Terrace, as well as along the moats (Fig. 6). This shear stress corresponds to current velocities at 150 m above the seafloor over 30 cm/s, assuming a logarithmic relation between the friction velocity and the variation of velocity with height, a von Kármán constant equal to 0.4 and bottom roughness length equal to 0.0035 m (Schlichting, 1962). This prediction of sediment erosion based on the bottom shear stress agrees with the erosion visible in the Parasound data at the slope between the La Plata Terrace and the Ewing Terrace as well as along the moats (Figs. 4, 5 and 10).

Some contouritic features are commonly related to mean flow velocity (Stow et al., 2009) or the corresponding mean bottom shear stress (Schlichting, 1962; Soulsby and Whitehouse, 1997). But for further understanding sediment dynamics not only the mean velocity is relevant but also the flow variability and secondary (smaller scale) processes (e.g. eddies) that can increase bottom shear stress on the seafloor and control contouritic processes (Thran et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019). On the Ewing Terrace south of the MdP Canyon, we observed erosional surfaces in the Parasound data even though the mean speed is low over the terrace (Fig. 10). Internal acceleration due to a sloping morphology can lead to flow instabilities that can lead to generation of waves and eddies (Rebesco et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). This phenomenon possibly occurs at the Ewing Terrace south of the MdP Canyon that is tilted slightly towards the NE (Fig. 2). Furthermore, in this area the terrace width increases and thus the contour current has more space to flow over the flat terrace (Fig. 2). The divergence of isobaths may cause a decrease in the mean flow and also lead to the development of flow instabilities. We propose that this sudden widening can lead to turbulences and eddies at the seafloor, similar to water flowing out of a channel or a river mouth (Falcini and Jerolmack, 2010). This is also reflected by a slightly enhanced flow variability on the Ewing Terrace

compared to the La Plata Terrace (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, as previously described, during times when the Malvinas Current is relatively weak, the flow direction over the Ewing Terrace can turn towards the south at the basinwards edge (Fig. 3C). On the other hand, one branch of the Malvinas Current (MC 2) flows northward along the abraded surface (landwards of the Ewing Terrace). The opposite flow direction makes the occurrence of clockwise rotating eddies likely. VM-ADCP measurements and hydrodynamic modelling results averaged over one month confirm the presence of a cyclonic eddy on the Ewing Terrace (Figs. 3C and 8B). This suggest that these eddies can lead to local erosion because shear stress can be much higher in turbulent flow compared to laminar flow (Fig. 14; Schlichting and Gersten, 2016). However, this change in flow direction was not observed at all ADCP profiles and is not apparent in the model derived 25-year average bottom currents at the Ewing Terrace. Thus, these eddies may be transient features and there are energetic periods during which the sediment is eroded, alternating with calm periods during which sediment deposition is favoured. This variability in bottom currents may also explain the absence of a clear erosional surface and the presence of several small erosional surfaces at the Ewing Terrace (Fig. 11). These new observations refine our understanding of the sediment dynamics in the vicinity of the MdP Canyon. The depositional system located further south of this study area at $\sim 45^{\circ}\text{S}$ might be different because of a more stable Malvinas Current with its main branch being located over the terrace (Piola et al., 2013).

Moats and separated mounded drifts

Moats and paleomoats and the related separated mounded drifts are commonly used to reconstruct bottom currents because they provide a clear indication for strong bottom currents and their direction (Surlyk and Lykke-Andersen, 2007; Betzler et al., 2013). To make these reconstructions more reliable, it is necessary to study the geomorphology of active moats together with the characteristics of the flow regime. At the landward edge of the Ewing Terrace, two up-slope migrating moats are located, each with an associated separated mounded drift (Fig. 10). The separated mounded drifts have a sigmoidal reflection pattern. The morphology

of the observed separated mounded drifts is not typical for a drift associated with a moat (Faugères et al., 1999; Stow et al., 2002a; Rebesco et al., 2014). In the separated mounded drifts described here; the sigmoidal reflections terminate at the seaward side at one point. Typically, the units between the reflectors become thinner leading to almost parallel, uniform reflectors at the basinward side of the drift (Faugères et al., 1999; Stow et al., 2002a; Betzler et al., 2013; Rebesco et al., 2014). The unusual depositional character is possibly connected to the strong bottom currents, making it an erosive and very sandy and even gravelly moat, and a silty-sandy separated mounded drift (Bozzano et al., 2011), while separated mounded drifts related to moats are typically mud dominated (Rebesco et al., 2014; Miramontes et al., 2016). The initiation for developing a moat might be connected to local eddies, as discussed earlier. However, it is not clear yet how the development of moats initially starts and further investigation is needed. Possibly, there are turbulences and small eddies occurring in the moats that lead to erosion but these cannot be resolved by the presented model because of a lack of higher resolution. For detailed understanding of flow patterns inside of the moat very high-resolution numerical modelling of bottom currents is needed or extensive ADCP measurements.

The available ADCP data show that bottom currents inside the moat increase locally (Fig. 8). Along and cross slope ADCP sections confirm that this increase in flow velocity is restricted to the near-bottom currents inside the moat (Fig. 7). Thus, after the moat started to form it affected the bottom currents, probably focusing bottom currents and leading to an increase in velocity. Current speed standard deviation in ET-Moat 2 is almost twice as high as in ET-Moat 1 which could be due to a stronger decrease of speed in ET-Moat 2 and larger flow fluctuation in shallower environments. Taking velocity fluctuations in turbulent flow into account (Inman, 1949), this may explain why the sediment is less sorted. ET-Moat 2 progressively widens northwards, while ET-Moat 1 shows a sudden widening in its central zone towards the abraded surface (Fig. 9). A scour is located at the edge where the moat suddenly widens (Fig. 9A). The interaction of bottom currents with the edge on the slope possibly leads to the formation of vortices and eddies that may have formed the observed scour inside the moat (Fig. 9A). This

process of cutting back of the slope can be an important factor responsible for widening the contourite terrace. Furthermore, the ADCP data also show that as the moats widen northwards the flow velocity decreases (Figs. 6 and 7). This has implications for the along-slope sediment transport. Sediment transported in close proximity to ET-Moat 1 by the MC2 current branch can be deposited at the MdP Canyon head and subsequently transported down the canyon by turbidity currents (Warratz et al., 2019).

Drift crest

The modelled bottom currents averaged over 25 years show that the flow variability over the La Plata Terrace is lower than over the Ewing Terrace. The highest flow variability is at the basinward edge of the Ewing Terrace south of the MdP Canyon where MC3 drastically decreases in speed (from 25 to 5 cm/s over 60 km) (Figs. 3B, 5B, 11E). The maximum northward extent of MC3 fluctuates and is dependent on the strength of the Malvinas Current, which can explain the higher variability in speed compared with the rest of the Ewing Terrace (Fig. 3B). In this area a drift crest or morphologic high developed on the upper part of the plastered drift (Figs. 9A, 11A, 14), possibly as a result of a weakening bottom current with reduced sediment transport capacity, allowing deposition along its path. The decrease in bottom current speed towards the north may also inhibit the transport of coarse sediment, favouring its deposition and forming the observed drift crest, which is mainly composed of sand, with a median grain size of 103 μm .

Sediment waves

The drift crest on the basinward edge of the Ewing Terrace is covered in part by several sediment waves that migrate basinwards up-slope of the drift crest (Fig. 11). Sediment waves can be formed by downslope flowing turbidity currents and along-slope flowing bottom currents. The sediment waves discussed here are not associated with any downslope submarine channel and they are thus not formed by turbidity currents. They are contouritic sediment waves and part of the CDS. Flood (1988) proposed that the lee-wave mechanism

can form sediment waves under bottom currents. Lee-waves can develop within a bottom current that flows over a wavy topography (Flood, 1988), but the sediment waves initiation process is not well understood. In agreement with this theory, the sediment waves discussed here are located at the lee side of the drift crest described before. This drift crest could possibly lead to the development of the lee-waves. Flood (1988) assumed that the sediment waves are perpendicular to the current direction. However, further theoretical analyses taking the Coriolis force into account indicate that sediment waves under bottom currents can be oblique to the flow direction (Blumsack and Weatherly, 1989; Hopfauf and Spieß, 2001). Oblique sediment waves have been observed at several places (McCave, 2017). In this study we report on sediment waves that are parallel to the direction of currents (Figs. 9, 11). This parallel orientation to the flow direction seems unlikely to be explained by lee-waves alone. Thus, other processes have to be taken into consideration. Previous research reported that internal waves can form sediment waves and dunes (Hand, 1974; Reeder et al., 2011; Droghei et al., 2016; Ribó et al., 2016; Reiche et al., 2018; Yin et al., 2019; Miramontes et al., 2020). Internal waves can propagate at density discontinuities and have been previously proposed as a cause for the development of the Ewing Terrace and the La Plata Terrace (Hernández-Molina et al., 2009; Preu et al., 2013). The suggested mechanism is that the internal waves can propagate at the interface of AAIW, UCDW and NADW, respectively. The sediment waves discussed here are located slightly below the interface between the AAIW and the UCDW (Fig. 14). Internal waves with an amplitude of up to 250 m have been reported in deep-sea environments (Van Haren and Gostiaux, 2011). Possibly, part of the energy from the internal wave propagating at the interface of AAIW/UCDW interacts with the northward bottom flow which influences the deposition of sediment waves. The formation of these sediment waves was initiated several thousands of years ago likely during times when the Malvinas Current was stronger, for example during glacial times when the current was presumably stronger (e.g. Voigt et al., 2013). However, the processes leading to the formation of sediment waves are still not well understood.

Terrace formation

The initiation of the formation of the contouritic terraces was suggested by some authors to be related to internal waves propagating at water mass interfaces (Hernández-Molina et al., 2009; 2016b; Preu et al., 2013; Ercilla et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2019; Llave et al., 2020). Other authors proposed that internal waves may be secondary processes that can form channels and dune fields on contourite terraces, but are not responsible for the original formation of the contourite terrace, which they argued is probably related to strong currents (Miramontes et al., 2019; Miramontes et al., 2020). The model derived 25-year average bottom currents and the near-bottom water mass distribution derived from CTD measurements confirm that the contouritic terraces in the northern Argentine margin are roughly located at water mass interfaces (Figs. 4 and 13; Preu et al., 2013). However, the modelled bottom currents averaged over 25 years indicate that the La Plata Terrace is not located at the interface of Brazil Current and AAIW as suggested by Preu et al. 2013, but at the interface of SASW and AAIW (Fig. 4).

It has also been suggested that contourite terraces in the Mediterranean Sea are located in zones of relatively high geostrophic bottom currents, while plastered drifts are located in the adjacent zone of less intense bottom currents (Miramontes et al., 2019). Strong currents are observed and modelled only in the inner (landward) part of the contourite terraces, while the central and external (basinward) parts are affected by weaker bottom currents, although with a higher variability at the external (basinward) edge of the terrace (Figs. 3, 5 and 6). Modelled and observed bottom currents are the weakest over the plastered drifts (Figs. 3, 5 and 6). This current regime is also reflected in the general sediment stratigraphy of the Ewing Terrace (Preu et al., 2012). The landward part, where high currents are present, shows more evidence of erosion. As the water movement weakens towards the basinward side, more deposition is possible and large plastered drifts form. On top of this general stratigraphy, secondary deposits like separated mounded drifts, drift crests and sediment waves were deposited as discussed before. We suggest that contourite terraces may have been initiated by erosion on the slope generated by the (paleo) Malvinas Current that progressively cut the slope landwards, widening the contourite terrace with time. The fact that the Argentine contourite

terraces are much wider and flatter than other terraces observed for instance in the Mediterranean Sea (Ercilla et al., 2016; Miramontes et al., 2019), along the Mozambican margin (Thiéblemont et al., 2019; Miramontes et al., 2020) and in the Makassar Strait (Brackenridge et al., 2020) could be related to the higher speed of near-bottom currents as part of the Malvinas Current. The particular flat morphology with an abrupt edge of the terraces along the Argentine margin may favour the formation of internal waves at the terrace edge, in a similar way as at the shelf break (Jackson et al., 2012) that could also favour sediment transport and erosion along the terrace. These processes are however expected to be weaker than on the upper slopes and at the shelf break because of the weaker density gradient (Fig. 1B). Up to date, no internal waves could be directly identified near the seafloor in the study area. Magalhaes and da Silva (2017) analysed internal waves along the Malvinas Current with multispectral satellite imagery and found that most of the internal waves are located south of the study area in areas of submarine canyons. These internal waves propagate upstream of the Malvinas Current (Magalhaes and da Silva, 2017) and are thus not perpendicular to the slope. New *in situ* measurements and modelling studies would be necessary to determine the role of internal waves in the formation of contourite terraces.

5.3 Sediment origin and submarine transport

The primary origin of sediment deposits in the study area was linked to a mountainous origin and igneous source rock lithology from the Andes, transported by the Colorado and Negro Rivers to the ocean (Razik et al., 2015a). The sediment is then transported northward by along-slope bottom currents (Malvinas Current) and is finally deposited in the study area forming the observed contourite depositional system. Part of the sediment transported along the Ewing Terrace may reach the head of the MdP Canyon. The general decrease in northward bottom current speed towards the MdP Canyon (Figs. 3 and 7) would favour the accumulation of sediments at the canyon head that could be transported down canyon by turbidity currents, in a similar way as longshore currents feeding shelf-incised submarine canyons like the Monterrey Canyon (Paull et al., 2005) and the Cap Breton Canyon (Mazières

et al., 2014). Voigt et al. (2013) and Warratz et al. (2019) reported the absence of turbidites during the Holocene and the presence of turbidites during deglacial and glacial periods, which have the same composition as the sediments from the Ewing Terrace (Warratz et al., 2019). This was previously linked to a variability in the nepheloid layer depending on the current strength (Voigt et al., 2013; 2016; Warratz et al., 2019). Since sandy sediment is transported mainly as bedload under the observed and modelled bottom current velocities and is not part of the nepheloid layer, we propose that sediment transport associated with high velocity along-slope bottom-current jets (here MC2) plays an important role in the sediment input to the MdP Canyon head and is then transported downslope by turbidity currents. The presence of only one major tributary to the MdP Canyon (Fig. 2; Krastel et al., 2011) also indicates a sediment input coming from the canyon head rather than from the southern lateral side of the canyon.

Some previous studies focused more on the variability of the latitude of the confluence zone and on the reconstruction of the strength of the Malvinas Current based on geological, geophysical data and oceanographic data (Lumpkin and Garzoli, 2011; Preu et al., 2012; 2013; Voigt et al., 2013; 2016; Razik et al., 2015a; Artana et al., 2018; 2019a). It is important to consider that the mean latitude of the confluence zone is not the same at the sea surface and near the seafloor (Fig. 6). The reanalyses from Artana et al. (2019a) showed that the strength of the Malvinas Current has not changed over the period of 1993-2017. A southward shift of the mean confluence zone of 0.6 to 0.9° per decade was observed in recent times (1992-2007) (Lumpkin and Garzoli, 2011). Thus, the shift in the confluence might be more controlled by the Brazil Current. This southward trend of the confluence zone is expected to continue with the present global warming due to anthropogenic climate change (de Souza et al., 2019). This shift could possibly threaten the cold-water coral ecosystem on the Ewing Terrace (Hebbeln et al., 2016; Steinmann et al., 2020).

The frequency of turbidity currents in the MdP Canyon is linked to the strength of the Malvinas Current. After the last glacial maximum, when the climate became generally warmer and sea level rose, the Malvinas Current became weaker on average (Preu et al., 2013; Voigt et al., 2013; Warratz et al., 2019). These weaker currents can only transport finer sediment

and thus probably transported less sediment into the study area leading to a lower sedimentation rate and fewer turbidites in the MdP Canyon (Voigt et al., 2013; 2016; Warratz et al., 2019). The described onset of sediment wave deposition on the Ewing Terrace possibly started in this calmer environment (Fig. 11).

6 Conclusions

This study represents a step forward in understanding the sediment dynamics in proximity to the Mar del Plata (MdP) submarine Canyon at the northern Argentine Margin by combining geophysical and sedimentological datasets (multibeam bathymetry, seismo-acoustic data and sediment cores) with oceanographic datasets (vessel-mounted ADCP measurements, CTD data and ocean reanalysis). Overall, this study contributes to a better understanding of the formation of contourite depositional systems and can help future reconstructions of past ocean conditions based on sedimentary structures. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

- Measured and modelled current data show that in close proximity to the MdP Canyon, the Malvinas Current dominates the sediment dynamics at the seafloor. We propose that sediment transport associated with high-velocity along-slope bottom-current jets plays an important role in supplying sediments to the MdP Canyon head similarly to longshore currents feeding shelf-incised submarine canyons. The moat of the Ewing Terrace is possibly also a pathway for sediment transport to the MdP Canyon head.
- ADCP measurements together with the 25-year reanalysis of ocean currents show a northward decrease of the northward-flowing waters. This decrease in speed leads to less erosion and the accumulation of finer sediment deposits north of the MdP Canyon, as observed in the surface sediment samples.
- Modelling results indicate that near the seafloor the Malvinas Current splits into 3 branches (at ~39°S). The shallowest branch (MC1) flows along the upper slope of the La Plata Terrace and continues flowing below the Brazil Current until ~36° S. MC2 flows

783 along the abraded surface connecting the La Plata and Ewing Terrace. The offshore
784 branch (MC3) flows at the basinward edge of the Ewing Terrace and drastically
785 decreases in speed south of the MdP Canyon.

- 786 • The decrease in speed of branch MC3 reduces sediment transport capacity, which
787 therefore allows deposition along its path and possibly leads to the formation of the
788 observed drift crest. Downstream of the drift crest sediment waves with a parallel
789 orientation to the flow direction are deposited.

- 790 • Measured and modelled near-bottom currents are strong (up to 63 cm/s at 150 - 350 m
791 above the seafloor) where abraded surfaces and moats are present, and weak (lower
792 than 30 cm/s) on the La Plata Terrace and the Ewing Terrace. The strong bottom
793 currents generate the moats located at the landward slope of the Ewing Terrace that
794 are very sandy and even gravelly. In the moats, an intensification in flow velocity was
795 measured and an up-slope migration observed. We suggest that contourite terraces
796 may have been initiated by erosion on the slope generated by the (paleo) Malvinas
797 Current that would progressively cut the slope landwards, widening the contourite
798 terrace with time.

- 799 • The divergence of isobaths at the terraces possibly explains the measured and
800 modelled weaker near-bottom currents on the La Plata Terrace and the Ewing Terrace.
801 Together with the sloping morphology of the Ewing Terrace this can lead to flow
802 instabilities near the seafloor. We suggest that this effect leads to local cyclonic eddies
803 near the seafloor, which have also been measured and modelled. We propose that
804 these eddies are transient and can cause local erosion only during energetic periods.
805 The alternation between sediment deposition and erosion may also explain the absence
806 of a clear large-scale erosional surface and the presence of several small erosional
807 surfaces at the Ewing Terrace.

7 Data Availability

The ADCP data and surface grain size analyses used in this paper will be made available at PANGAEA database (www.pangaea.de). Modelled data is freely available from the Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (CMEMS, <http://marine.copernicus.eu/>).

Acknowledgements:

We thank the captains, crews and onboard scientific teams for their support during R/V SONNE cruise SO260 in 2018, R/V Meteor cruise M78/3 in 2009 and R/V Meteor cruise M49/2 in 2001. We appreciate the help of K. Zonneveld and K.-H. Baumann for processing the CTD data during the SO260 cruise. We thank Brit Kockisch for her support during the collection and analysis of sediment samples. For funding of the cruise SO260 we acknowledge the DFG Research Centre/Cluster of Excellence 'The Ocean in the Earth System' (MARUM - Center for Marine Environmental Sciences at the University of Bremen). For additional funding we thank the Helmholtz Association (Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven). The sediment sample material was provided by the GeoB Core Repository at the MARUM - Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, University of Bremen, Germany. The project was funded by the University of Bremen and supported by the GLOMAR - Bremen International Graduate School for Marine Sciences.

References

- Artana, C., Ferrari, R., Bricaud, C., Lellouche, J.-M., Garric, G., Sennéchaël, N., Lee, J.-H., Park, Y.-H., Provost, C., 2019a. Twenty-five years of Mercator ocean reanalysis GLORYS12 at Drake Passage: velocity assessment and total volume transport. *Advances in Space Research*.
- Artana, C., Lellouche, J.M., Park, Y.H., Garric, G., Koenig, Z., Sennéchaël, N., Ferrari, R., Piola, A.R., Saraceno, M., Provost, C., 2018. Fronts of the Malvinas Current System: Surface and Subsurface Expressions Revealed by Satellite Altimetry, Argo Floats, and

837 Mercator Operational Model Outputs. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 123,
838 5261-5285.

839 Artana, C., Provost, C., Lellouche, J.M., Rio, M.H., Ferrari, R., Sennéchaël, N., 2019b. The
840 Malvinas current at the confluence with the Brazil current: Inferences from 25 years of
841 Mercator ocean reanalysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 124, 7178-7200.

842 Betzler, C., Lüdmann, T., Hübscher, C., Fürstenau, J., 2013. Current and sea-level signals in
843 periplatform ooze (Neogene, Maldives, Indian Ocean). *Sedimentary Geology* 290, 126-137.

844 Blumsack, S., Weatherly, G., 1989. Observations of the nearby flow and a model for the growth
845 of mudwaves. *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers* 36, 1327-
846 1339.

847 Bozzano, G., Cerrudo, M.E., Remesal, M., Steinmann, L., Hanebuth, T.J.J., Schwenk, T.,
848 Baqués, M., Hebbeln, D., Spoltore, D., Silvestri, O., Acevedo, R.D., Spiess, V., Violante,
849 R.A., Kasten, S., 2020. Dropstones in the Mar del Plata Canyon Area (SW Atlantic):
850 Evidence for Provenance, Transport, Distribution, and Oceanographic Implications.
851 *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, e2020GC009333.

852 Bozzano, G., Violante, R.A., Cerrudo, M.E., 2011. Middle slope contourite deposits and
853 associated sedimentary facies off NE Argentina. *Geo-Marine Letters* 31, 495-507.

854 Brackenridge, R.E., Nicholson, U., Sapiie, B., Stow, D., Tappin, D.R., 2020. Indonesian
855 Throughflow as a preconditioning mechanism for submarine landslides in the Makassar
856 Strait. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* 500, 195-217.

857 Chen, H., Zhang, W., Xie, X., Ren, J., 2019. Sediment dynamics driven by contour currents
858 and mesoscale eddies along continental slope: A case study of the northern South China
859 Sea. *Marine Geology* 409, 48-66.

860 Droghei, R., Falcini, F., Casalbore, D., Martorelli, E., Mosetti, R., Sannino, G., Santoleri, R.,
861 Chiocci, F., 2016. The role of Internal Solitary Waves on deep-water sedimentary
862 processes: the case of up-slope migrating sediment waves off the Messina Strait. Scientific
863 reports 6, 36376.

864 Ercilla, G., Juan, C., Hernandez-Molina, F.J., Bruno, M., Estrada, F., Alonso, B., Casas, D., Í
865 Farran, M., Llave, E., Garcia, M., 2016. Significance of bottom currents in deep-sea
866 morphodynamics: an example from the Alboran Sea. Marine Geology 378, 157-170.

867 Falcini, F., Jerolmack, D.J., 2010. A potential vorticity theory for the formation of elongate
868 channels in river deltas and lakes. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 115.

869 Faugères, J.-C., Stow, D.A., Imbert, P., Viana, A., 1999. Seismic features diagnostic of
870 contourite drifts. Marine Geology 162, 1-38.

871 Flood, R.D., 1988. A lee wave model for deep-sea mudwave activity. Deep Sea Research Part
872 A. Oceanographic Research Papers 35, 973-983.

873 Franke, D., Neben, S., Ladage, S., Schreckenberger, B., Hinz, K., 2007. Margin segmentation
874 and volcano-tectonic architecture along the volcanic margin off Argentina/Uruguay, South
875 Atlantic. Marine Geology 244, 46-67.

876 Frenz, M., Höppner, R., Stuut, J.-B., Wagner, T., Henrich, R., 2003a. Surface sediment bulk
877 geochemistry and grain-size composition related to the oceanic circulation along the South
878 American continental margin in the Southwest Atlantic, The South Atlantic in the Late
879 Quaternary. Springer, pp. 347-373.

880 [dataset] Frenz, M., Höppner, R., Stuut, J.-B.W., Wagner, T., Henrich, R., 2003b. Terrigenous
881 silt grain-size distributions in the SW Atlantic, In supplement to: Frenz, M et al. (2003):
882 Surface Sediment Bulk Geochemistry and Grain-Size Composition Related to the Oceanic
883 Circulation along the South American Continental Margin in the Southwest Atlantic. In:

884 Wefer, G; Mulitza, S & Ratmeyer, V (eds.), The South Atlantic in the Late Quaternary:
885 Reconstruction of Material Budgets and Current Systems, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg,
886 New York, 347-373. PANGAEA.

887 GEBCO, Compilation-Group, 2020. GEBCO 2020 Grid.

888 Giberto, D., Bremec, C., Acha, E.M., Mianzan, H., 2004. Large-scale spatial patterns of
889 benthic assemblages in the SW Atlantic: the Rio de la Plata estuary and adjacent shelf
890 waters. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 61, 1-13.

891 Gordon, A.L., Greengrove, C.L., 1986. Geostrophic circulation of the Brazil-Falkland
892 confluence. *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers* 33, 573-585.

893 Grant, J., Schreiber, R., 1990. Modern swathe sounding and sub-bottom profiling technology
894 for research applications: the Atlas Hydrosweep and Parasound systems, *Marine*
895 *Geological Surveying and Sampling*. Springer, pp. 9-19.

896 Hand, B.M., 1974. Supercritical flow in density currents. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 44,
897 637-648.

898 Hebbeln, D., Van Rooij, D., Wienberg, C., 2016. Good neighbours shaped by vigorous
899 currents: Cold-water coral mounds and contourites in the North Atlantic. *Marine Geology*
900 378, 171-185.

901 Heezen, B.C., 1959. Dynamic processes of abyssal sedimentation: erosion, transportation,
902 and redeposition on the deep-sea floor. *Geophysical Journal International* 2, 142-163.

903 Heezen, B.C., Hollister, C., 1964. Deep-sea current evidence from abyssal sediments. *Marine*
904 *Geology* 1, 141-174.

905 Hernández-Molina, F.J., Campbell, S., Badalini, G., Thompson, P., Walker, R., Soto, M., Conti,
 906 B., Preu, B., Thieblemont, A., Hyslop, L., 2018. Large bedforms on contourite terraces:
 907 Sedimentary and conceptual implications. *Geology* 46, 27-30.

908 Hernández-Molina, F.J., Paterlini, M., Violante, R., Marshall, P., de Isasi, M., Somoza, L.,
 909 Rebesco, M., 2009. Contourite depositional system on the Argentine Slope: An exceptional
 910 record of the influence of Antarctic water masses. *Geology* 37, 507-510.

911 Hernández-Molina, F.J., Soto, M., Piola, A.R., Tomasini, J., Preu, B., Thompson, P., Badalini,
 912 G., Creaser, A., Violante, R.A., Morales, E., Paterlini, M., De Santa Ana, H., 2016a. A
 913 contourite depositional system along the Uruguayan continental margin: Sedimentary,
 914 oceanographic and paleoceanographic implications. *Marine Geology* 378, 333-349.

915 Hernández-Molina, F.J., Wåhlin, A., Bruno, M., Ercilla, G., Llave, E., Serra, N., Rosón, G.,
 916 Puig, P., Rebesco, M., Van Rooij, D., 2016b. Oceanographic processes and
 917 morphosedimentary products along the Iberian margins: A new multidisciplinary approach.
 918 *Marine Geology* 378, 127-156.

919 Hinz, K., Neben, S., Schreckenberger, B., Roeser, H., Block, M., De Souza, K.G., Meyer, H.,
 920 1999. The Argentine continental margin north of 48 S: sedimentary successions, volcanic
 921 activity during breakup. *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 16, 1-25.

922 Hopfauf, V., Spieß, V., 2001. A three-dimensional theory for the development and migration
 923 of deep sea sedimentary waves. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research*
 924 *Papers* 48, 2497-2519.

925 Inman, D.L., 1949. Sorting of sediments in the light of fluid mechanics. *Journal of Sedimentary*
 926 *Research* 19, 51-70.

927 Jackson, C.R., Da Silva, J.C., Jeans, G., 2012. The generation of nonlinear internal waves.
 928 *Oceanography* 25, 108-123.

929 Kane, I.A., Clare, M.A., Miramontes, E., Wogelius, R., Rothwell, J.J., Garreau, P., Pohl, F.,
930 2020. Seafloor microplastic hotspots controlled by deep-sea circulation. *Science* 368,
931 1140-1145.

932 Kasten, S., Schwenk, T., Aromokeye, D.A., Baques, M., Baumann, K.-H., Bergenthal, M.,
933 Bösche, J., Bozzano, G., Brune, R., Bültgen, J., Chiessi, C.M., Coffinet, S., Crivellari, S.,
934 Dehning, K., Dohrmann, I., Dröllner, M., Düßmann, R., Durica, J.T., Frederichs, T., Garcia
935 Chaponi, N., Gonzalez, L., Hanebuth, T.J.J., Hilgenfeldt, C., Hüttich, D., Jones, C.K., Klann,
936 M., Klar, S., Klein, T., Kockisch, B., Köster, M., Lantzsch, H., Linowski, E., Long, J.H.,
937 Melcher, A.-C., Ogunleye, O.J., Pereyra, N., Rehage, R., Riedinger, N., Rosiak, U.,
938 Schmidt, W., Schnakenberg, A., Spieß, V., Steinmann, L., Thieblemont, A., Volz, J.,
939 Warnke, F., Warratz, G., Wenau, S., Zonneveld, K.A.F., 2019. Dynamics of sedimentation
940 processes and their impact on biogeochemical reactions on the continental slope off
941 Argentina and Uruguay (MARUM), Cruise No. SO260/Leg 1 & Leg2, Leg 1: January 12-
942 January 30, 2018, Buenos Aires (Argentina)-Montevideo (Uruguay), Leg 2: February 2-
943 February, 14, 2018, Montevideo (Uruguay)-Buenos Aires (Argentina), DosProBio, Sonne-
944 Berichte.

945 Krastel, S., Wefer, G., 2012. Report and preliminary results of RV METEOR Cruise M78/3.
946 Sediment transport off Uruguay and Argentina: from the shelf to the deep sea; 19.05. 2009–
947 06.07. 2009, Montevideo (Uruguay)–Montevideo (Uruguay). Meteor-Berichte.

948 Krastel, S., Wefer, G., Hanebuth, T.J., Antobreh, A.A., Freudenthal, T., Preu, B., Schwenk, T.,
949 Strasser, M., Violante, R., Winkelmann, D., 2011. Sediment dynamics and geohazards off
950 Uruguay and the de la Plata River region (northern Argentina and Uruguay). *Geo-Marine*
951 *Letters* 31, 271-283.

952 Lellouche, J.-M., Greiner, E., Le Galloudec, O., Garric, G., Regnier, C., Drevillon, M., Benkiran,
953 M., Testut, C.-E., Bourdalle-Badie, R., Gasparin, F., Hernandez, O., Levier, B., Drillet, Y.,
954 Remy, E., Le Traon, P.-Y., 2018. Recent updates on the Copernicus Marine Service global

955 ocean monitoring and forecasting real-time 1/12° high resolution system. Ocean Science
 956 Discussions.

957 Llave, E., Hernández-Molina, F.J., García, M., Ercilla, G., Roque, C., Juan, C., Mena, A., Preu,
 958 B., Van Rooij, D., Rebesco, M., 2020. Contourites along the Iberian continental margins:
 959 conceptual and economic implications. Geological Society, London, Special Publications
 960 476, 403-436.

961 Lumpkin, R., Garzoli, S., 2011. Interannual to decadal changes in the western South Atlantic's
 962 surface circulation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 116.

963 Maamaatuaiahutapu, K., Garçon, V.C., Provost, C., Boulahdid, M., Bianchi, A.A., 1994. Spring
 964 and winter water mass composition in the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence. Journal of Marine
 965 Research 52, 397-426.

966 Magalhaes, J., da Silva, J., 2017. Close Internal waves along the Malvinas Current: Evidence
 967 of transcritical generation in satellite imagery. Oceanography 30, 110-119.

968 Mazières, A., Gillet, H., Castelle, B., Mulder, T., Guyot, C., Garlan, T., Mallet, C., 2014. High-
 969 resolution morphobathymetric analysis and evolution of Capbreton submarine canyon head
 970 (Southeast Bay of Biscay—French Atlantic Coast) over the last decade using descriptive
 971 and numerical modeling. Marine Geology 351, 1-12.

972 McCave, I.N., 2017. Formation of sediment waves by turbidity currents and geostrophic flows:
 973 A discussion. Marine Geology 390, 89-93.

974 Miramontes, E., Cattaneo, A., Jouet, G., Thereau, E., Thomas, Y., Rovere, M., Cauquil, E.,
 975 Trincardi, F., 2016. The Pianosa contourite depositional system (northern Tyrrhenian Sea):
 976 Drift morphology and Plio-Quaternary stratigraphic evolution. Marine Geology 378, 20-42.

977 Miramontes, E., Garreau, P., Caillaud, M., Jouet, G., Pellen, R., Hernández-Molina, F.J.,
 978 Clare, M.A., Cattaneo, A., 2019. Contourite distribution and bottom currents in the NW

979 Mediterranean Sea: coupling seafloor geomorphology and hydrodynamic modelling.
980 Geomorphology 333, 43-60.

981 Miramontes, E., Jouet, G., Thereau, E., Bruno, M., Penven, P., Guerin, C., Le Roy, P., Droz,
982 L., Jorry, S.J., Hernández-Molina, F.J., 2020. The impact of internal waves on upper
983 continental slopes: insights from the Mozambican margin (southwest Indian Ocean). Earth
984 Surface Processes and Landforms 45, 1469-1482.

985 Paniagua, G.F., Saraceno, M., Piola, A.R., Guerrero, R., Provost, C., Ferrari, R., Lago, L.S.,
986 Artana, C.I., 2018. Malvinas Current at 40°S–41°S: First Assessment of Temperature and
987 Salinity Temporal Variability. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 123, 5323-5340.

988 Paull, C.K., Mitts, P., Ussler III, W., Keaten, R., Greene, H.G., 2005. Trail of sand in upper
989 Monterey Canyon: offshore California. Geological Society of America Bulletin 117, 1134-
990 1145.

991 Piola, A.R., Franco, B.C., Palma, E.D., Saraceno, M., 2013. Multiple jets in the Malvinas
992 Current. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 118, 2107-2117.

993 Piola, A.R., Matano, R.P., 2019. Ocean Currents: Atlantic Western Boundary - Brazil
994 Current/Falkland (Malvinas) Current, in: Cochran, J.K., Bokuniewicz, H., Yager, P. (Eds.),
995 Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences, 3rd Edition.

996 Piola, A.R., Palma, E.D., Bianchi, A.A., Castro, B.M., Dottori, M., Guerrero, R.A., Marrari, M.,
997 Matano, R.P., Möller, O.O., Saraceno, M., 2018. Physical oceanography of the SW Atlantic
998 Shelf: a review, Plankton Ecology of the Southwestern Atlantic. Springer, pp. 37-56.

999 Preu, B., Hernández-Molina, F.J., Violante, R., Piola, A.R., Paterlini, C.M., Schwenk, T., Voigt,
1000 I., Krastel, S., Spiess, V., 2013. Morphosedimentary and hydrographic features of the
1001 northern Argentine margin: The interplay between erosive, depositional and gravitational

1002 processes and its conceptual implications. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic
 1003 Research Papers 75, 157-174.

1004 Preu, B., Schwenk, T., Hernández-Molina, F.J., Violante, R., Paterlini, M., Krastel, S.,
 1005 Tomasini, J., Spieß, V., 2012. Sedimentary growth pattern on the northern Argentine slope:
 1006 The impact of North Atlantic Deep Water on southern hemisphere slope architecture.
 1007 Marine Geology 329-331, 113-125.

1008 Provost, C., Gana, S., Garçon, V., Maamaatuaiahutapu, K., England, M., 1995. Hydrographic
 1009 conditions in the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence during austral summer 1990. Journal of
 1010 Geophysical Research: Oceans 100, 10655-10678.

1011 Razik, S., Govin, A., Chiessi, C.M., von Dobeneck, T., 2015a. Depositional provinces,
 1012 dispersal, and origin of terrigenous sediments along the SE South American continental
 1013 margin. Marine Geology 363, 261-272.

1014 [dataset] Razik, S., Govin, A., Chiessi, C.M., von Dobeneck, T., 2015b. (Figure 3) Grain-size
 1015 distributions of the terrigenous sediment fraction from surface sediment samples along the
 1016 continental margin between East Brazil and Patagonia, In supplement to: Razik, S et al.
 1017 (2015): Depositional provinces, dispersal, and origin of terrigenous sediments along the SE
 1018 South American continental margin. Marine Geology, 363, 261-272,
 1019 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2015.03.001>. PANGAEA.

1020 Rebesco, M., Camerlenghi, A., 2008. Contourites. Elsevier.

1021 Rebesco, M., Hernández-Molina, F.J., Van Rooij, D., Wåhlin, A., 2014. Contourites and
 1022 associated sediments controlled by deep-water circulation processes: State-of-the-art and
 1023 future considerations. Marine Geology 352, 111-154.

- 1024 Reeder, D.B., Ma, B.B., Yang, Y.J., 2011. Very large subaqueous sand dunes on the upper
1025 continental slope in the South China Sea generated by episodic, shoaling deep-water
1026 internal solitary waves. *Marine Geology* 279, 12-18.
- 1027 Reiche, S., Hübscher, C., Brenner, S., Betzler, C., Hall, J.K., 2018. The role of internal waves
1028 in the late Quaternary evolution of the Israeli continental slope. *Marine Geology* 406, 177-
1029 192.
- 1030 Reid, J.L., Nowlin, W.D., Patzert, W.C., 1977. On the characteristics and circulation of the
1031 southwestern Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography* 7, 62-91.
- 1032 Ribó, M., Puig, P., Muñoz, A., Iacono, C.L., Masqué, P., Palanques, A., Acosta, J., Guillén, J.,
1033 Ballesteros, M.G., 2016. Morphobathymetric analysis of the large fine-grained sediment
1034 waves over the Gulf of Valencia continental slope (NW Mediterranean). *Geomorphology*
1035 253, 22-37.
- 1036 Saraceno, M., Provost, C., Piola, A.R., 2005. On the relationship between satellite-retrieved
1037 surface temperature fronts and chlorophyll a in the western South Atlantic. *Journal of*
1038 *Geophysical Research: Oceans* 110.
- 1039 Schlichting, H., 1962. *Boundary Layer Theory*, 6th edn., 744 pp. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- 1040 Schlichting, H., Gersten, K., 2016. *Boundary-layer theory*. Springer.
- 1041 Soulsby, R., Whitehouse, R., 1997. Threshold of sediment motion in coastal environments,
1042 *Pacific Coasts and Ports' 97: Proceedings of the 13th Australasian Coastal and Ocean*
1043 *Engineering Conference and the 6th Australasian Port and Harbour Conference; Volume*
1044 *1. Centre for Advanced Engineering, University of Canterbury*, p. 145.
- 1045 Spieß, V., Albrecht, N., Bickert, T., Breitzke, M., Brüning, M., Dreyzehner, A., Groß, U., Krüger,
1046 D., von Lom-Keil, H., Möller, H., 2002. ODP Südatlantik 2001 Part 2. *Meteor-Berichte* 2, 1.

1047 Steinmann, L., Baques, M., Wenau, S., Schwenk, T., Spiess, V., Piola, A.R., Bozzano, G.,
1048 Violante, R., Kasten, S., 2020. Discovery of a giant cold-water coral mound province along
1049 the northern Argentine margin and its link to the regional Contourite Depositional System
1050 and oceanographic setting. *Marine Geology* 427, 106223.

1051 Stow, D.A., Faugères, J.-C., Howe, J.A., Pudsey, C.J., Viana, A.R., 2002a. Bottom currents,
1052 contourites and deep-sea sediment drifts: current state-of-the-art. Geological Society,
1053 London, Memoirs 22, 7-20.

1054 Stow, D.A., Hernández-Molina, F.J., Llave, E., Sayago-Gil, M., Díaz del Río, V., Branson, A.,
1055 2009. Bedform-velocity matrix: the estimation of bottom current velocity from bedform
1056 observations. *Geology* 37, 327-330.

1057 Stow, D.A., Pudsey, C., Howe, J., Faugères, J.-C., Viana, A., 2002b. Deep-water contourite
1058 systems: modern drifts and ancient series, seismic and sedimentary characteristics.
1059 Geological Society of London.

1060 Surlyk, F., Lykke-Andersen, H., 2007. Contourite drifts, moats and channels in the Upper
1061 Cretaceous chalk of the Danish Basin. *Sedimentology* 54, 405-422.

1062 Thiéblemont, A., Hernández-Molina, F.J., Miramontes, E., Raison, F., Penven, P., 2019.
1063 Contourite depositional systems along the Mozambique channel: The interplay between
1064 bottom currents and sedimentary processes. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic*
1065 *Research Papers* 147, 79-99.

1066 Thran, A.C., Dutkiewicz, A., Spence, P., Müller, R.D., 2018. Controls on the global distribution
1067 of contourite drifts: Insights from an eddy-resolving ocean model. *Earth and Planetary*
1068 *Science Letters* 489, 228-240.

1069 Urien, C.M., Ewing, M., 1974. Recent sediments and environment of southern Brazil, Uruguay,
 1070 Buenos Aires, and Rio Negro continental shelf, The geology of continental margins.
 1071 Springer, pp. 157-177.

1072 Valla, D., Piola, A.R., Meinen, C.S., Campos, E., 2018. Strong mixing and recirculation in the
 1073 northwestern Argentine Basin. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 123, 4624-4648.

1074 Van Haren, H., Gostiaux, L., 2011. Large internal waves advection in very weakly stratified
 1075 deep Mediterranean waters. *Geophysical Research Letters* 38.

1076 Vivier, F., Provost, C., 1999. Direct velocity measurements in the Malvinas Current. *Journal of*
 1077 *Geophysical Research: Oceans* 104, 21083-21103.

1078 Voigt, I., Chiessi, C.M., Piola, A.R., Henrich, R., 2016. Holocene changes in Antarctic
 1079 Intermediate Water flow strength in the Southwest Atlantic. *Palaeogeography,*
 1080 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 463, 60-67.

1081 Voigt, I., Henrich, R., Preu, B., Piola, A.R., Hanebuth, T.J., Schwenk, T., Chiessi, C.M., 2013.
 1082 A submarine canyon as a climate archive—interaction of the Antarctic Intermediate Water
 1083 with the Mar del Plata Canyon (Southwest Atlantic). *Marine Geology* 341, 46-57.

1084 Warratz, G., Henrich, R., Voigt, I., Chiessi, C.M., Kuhn, G., Lantzsich, H., 2017. Deglacial
 1085 changes in the strength of deep southern component water and sediment supply at the
 1086 Argentine continental margin. *Paleoceanography* 32, 796-812.

1087 Warratz, G., Schwenk, T., Voigt, I., Bozzano, G., Henrich, R., Violante, R., Lantzsich, H., 2019.
 1088 Interaction of a deep-sea current with a blind submarine canyon (Mar del Plata Canyon,
 1089 Argentina). *Marine Geology* 417, 106002.

1090 Yin, S., Hernández-Molina, F.J., Zhang, W., Li, J., Wang, L., Ding, W., Ding, W., 2019. The
 1091 influence of oceanographic processes on contourite features: A multidisciplinary study of
 1092 the northern South China Sea. *Marine Geology* 415, 105967.

Zhang, W., Hanebuth, T.J., Stöber, U., 2016. Short-term sediment dynamics on a meso-scale contourite drift (off NW Iberia): Impacts of multi-scale oceanographic processes deduced from the analysis of mooring data and numerical modelling. *Marine Geology* 378, 81-100.

Figure captions

Fig. 1. (A) Regional bathymetric map showing the SE American margin. The arrows indicate the general circulation pattern of the cold Malvinas Current, the warm Brazil Current and their confluence. (B/C) Potential temperature versus Salinity/Oxygen based on CTD data collected during Cruise SO260 that allowed the identification of different water masses: SASW: Subantarctic Surface Water, SACW: South Atlantic Central Water, AAIW: Antarctic Intermediate Water, UCDW: Upper Circumpolar Deep Water. σ_0 : potential density anomaly.

Fig. 2. Bathymetric map of the study area showing the location of the main morphological and contouritic features, sediment cores, CTD stations, seismic and Parasound profiles. The first contour line at 200 m indicates the approximate location of the continental shelf break. The deeper contour lines are every 500 m. (See Fig. 1 for location)

Fig. 3. (A) Modelled mean bottom velocity; (B) model standard deviation $\underline{g}$ ($= \sqrt{EKE}$) of over 25 years; and (C) Modelled mean bottom velocity from 12/01/2012 to 14/02/2012. Note that the scale for the speed and the standard deviation is not the same. MC 1-3 refers to three branches of the Malvinas Current near the seafloor.

Fig. 4. Cross-sections of the hydrodynamic model showing the 25-year mean flow direction in combination with seismic sections. The white dashed lines indicate the interface of water masses calculated based on the potential density anomaly (SASW: Subantarctic Surface Water, SACW: South Atlantic Central Water, AAIW: Antarctic Intermediate Water, UCDW:

1120 Upper Circumpolar Deep Water). The location of the cross-sections is shown in Figs. 2 and
1121 4. (A) Seismic section GeoB01-141 located north of MdP Canyon and (B) GeoB01-135
1122 located south of MdP Canyon (modified from Preu et al. (2012; 2013)).

1123

1124 Fig. 5. Cross-sections of the hydrodynamic model showing the 25-year mean speed in
1125 combination with seismic sections. The white dashed line indicates the border between
1126 southward and northward-flowing currents (see Fig. 5). The location of the cross-sections is
1127 shown in Figs. 2 and 4. (A) Seismic section GeoB01-141 located north of MdP Canyon and
1128 (B) GeoB01-135 located south of MdP Canyon (modified from Preu et al. (2012; 2013)).

1129

1130 Fig. 6. (A) Near-surface velocity from ADCP data averaged between 50 to 150 m below sea
1131 surface. (B) Near-bottom velocity from ADCP data averaged between 150 to 350 m above
1132 the seafloor. Yellow arrows indicate the modelled mean bottom current velocity over 8 cm/s.

1133

1134 Fig. 7. (A) ADCP cross-section showing the speed over and parallel to ET-Moat 1 and (B)
1135 ADCP cross-section parallel to the slope connecting the La Plata Terrace with the Ewing
1136 Terrace. The sections are parallel to each other. See Fig. 2 for location.

1137

1138 Fig. 8. (A) ADCP cross-section showing the speed across the southern Ewing Terrace in
1139 combination with Parasound data. Note that ADCP data and Parasound data are not in the
1140 same vertical scale (B) ADCP cross-section showing the current flow direction with arrows.
1141 The speed corresponds to the length of the vectors. An average mean velocity over 50 m is
1142 shown every 100 m below the sea surface. See Fig. 2 for location.

1143

1144 Fig. 9. Bathymetric map of the Ewing Terrace showing the main small-scale features: moats,
1145 separated mounded drifts, sediment waves and drift crest (A) south and (B) north of the MdP
1146 Canyon.

1147

1148 Fig. 10. Parasound data showing (A) Ewing Terrace Moat 2 north of MdP Canyon and (B)
1149 Ewing Terrace Moat 1 south of MdP Canyon. See Fig. 10D for location. (C) Mean speed and
1150 (D) standard deviation of modelled bottom currents.

1151

1152 Fig. 11. (A)(B)(C) Parasound data showing S-SE edge of southern Erwin Terrace (south of
1153 MdP Canyon). See Fig. 11E for location. (D) Mean speed and (E) standard deviation of
1154 modelled bottom currents.

1155

1156 Fig. 12. (A) Median grain size of all the bulk sediment samples collected in this study
1157 (circles), median grain size of the terrigenous fraction (diamonds, Razik et al., 2015) and
1158 percentage of sand of the bulk surface sediment (triangles, Frenz et al., 2003). (B) Grain
1159 size distribution of three samples located on the contourite terraces. (C) Grain-size
1160 distribution of two samples located inside of the two moats.

1161

1162 Fig. 13: Modelled bottom current velocity (over 25 years) together with an illustration of the
1163 water masses (identified from CTD data) at the seafloor (adapted from Preu et al. (2013)).

1164

1165 Fig. 14. Conceptual model of the main bottom currents and associated contouritic features in
1166 the study area. The approximate water mass interfaces in this region are indicated (after
1167 Preu et al., 2013; Kasten et al., 2019; Piola and Matano, 2019). MC: Malvinas Current, SW:
1168 Surface Water, AAIW: Antarctic Intermediate Water, UCDW: Upper Circumpolar Deep
1169 Water, NADW: North Atlantic Deep Water.

1 Supplementary material

2 For the analyses of model bottom currents, the underlying bathymetry plays a vital part because the
 3 currents interact with the seafloor morphology. There are generally no major differences between the
 4 ETOPO Bathymetry used for the Model (Fig. S1 A) and the GEBCO Bathymetry combined with the 100-
 5 m grid measured with a Multibeam Echosounder (MBES) used for the geomorphological analysis (Fig.
 6 S1 B). The comparison of the isobaths calculated from the different grids shows generally the same
 7 structure. On the shelf, both grids are similar, and in the study area (450 to 1400 m water depth), the
 8 differences are only minor. Because of the lower resolution of the ETOPO grid, the steep slopes cannot
 9 be resolved with the same details and the canyons are slightly wider (Fig. S1 C).

10

11 Fig. S1: (A) ETOPO Bathymetry used for the Model. The red boxes indicate differences between
 12 isobaths calculated from ETOPO Bathymetry and of GEBCO Bathymetry combined with the 100-m grid
 13 measured with a Multibeam Echosounder (MBES). (B) Comparison between the GEBCO Bathymetry
 14 combined with the 100 m grid and ETOPO Bathymetry (coloured dots). (C) Difference between the
 15 ETOPO Bathymetry and the GEBCO Bathymetry combined with the 100-m grid.

Figure 1

Figure 2

[Click here to access/download;Figure;02.tif](#)

Figure 3

Figure 4

[Click here to access/download;Figure;04.tif](#)

Figure 5

[Click here to access/download;Figure;05.tif](#)

Figure 6

[Click here to access/download;Figure;06.tif](#)

Figure 7

Figure 8

[Click here to access/download;Figure;08.tif](#)

Figure 9

Figure 10

[Click here to access/download;Figure;10.tif](#)

Figure 11

[Click here to access/download;Figure;11.tif](#)

Figure 12

Figure 13

Figure 14

Declaration of interests

☒ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☐ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: