

Corrigendum of the paper ‘On factorization of  
molecular wavefunctions’ [J. Phys. A.: Math.  
Theor. **48**, 445201 (2015)]

Thierry Jecko, Brian T. Sutcliffe and R. Guy Woolley

January 12, 2018

**Abstract**

We correct here a mistake in [1]. It turns out that it only slightly weakens the results of the paper.

The statement (21) in [1] is wrong. It was presented as a consequence of (20) but, since  $\Psi$  is a real analytic function of *several* variables, this logical link is false. Furthermore, (21) is actually false for some excited states of the hydrogen atom. Let us explain this in details. For the hydrogen atom, there is no nuclear variable  $R$  and the electronic variable  $r$  is the relative position of the nucleus and the electron. The collision set  $\Sigma$  is therefore the set of configurations for which  $r = 0$ . It turns out that some excited states do vanish on some sphere  $\{r; |r| = \rho\}$  with positive radius  $\rho$  (see [2], p. 135). Thus such states have non isolated zeroes outside  $\Sigma$ .

The results in [1] does not concern the case of the hydrogen atom since they require the presence of a nuclear variable  $R$ . So it is important to check (21) in this situation. We do not know if (21) is valid.

Given a state  $\Psi$ , the statement (21) was (implicitly) used in [1] to show that the set  $N_\Psi := \{R; \|\Psi(R; \cdot)\|_r = 0\}$  is included in  $\Sigma_n$  of nuclear collisions. We do not know if the last statement is true.

Now one can check that the results of the paper [1] remain valid provided one replaces “outside  $\Sigma_n$ ” by “outside  $N_\Psi$ ”. In particular, the derivation from the factorisation of the non-linear system for the factors is justified *outside*  $N_\Psi$ . Conversely, if one starts with a solution  $(\chi; \varphi)$  of the non-linear system then  $\chi\varphi$  satisfies the molecular Schrödinger equation *outside*  $Z_\chi \cup Z_{\|\varphi\|_r}$ .

(Here  $Z_f$  denotes the set of zeroes of the function  $f$ .) If  $Z_\chi \cup Z_{\|\varphi\|_r}$  has zero volume then  $\chi\varphi$  is a molecular bound state.

We point out that, thanks to the analytic properties of  $\Psi$ ,  $N_\Psi$  has zero volume and so does  $Z_\chi$ , for  $\chi$  given by (31) since  $Z_\chi = N_\psi$ . In particular, section VI remains valid after replacing “outside  $\Sigma_n$ ” by “outside  $Z_\chi \cup Z_{\|\varphi\|_r}$ ”.

In the discussion in [1], one should again replace “outside  $\Sigma_n$ ” by “outside  $N_\Psi$ ”. In particular, the use of the factorisation of  $\Psi$  is justified only “outside  $N_\Psi$ ”.

In [1], based on the wrong statement, we thought that the factorisation could be used at least outside the set of nuclear collisions, which does not depend on the molecular state under study. Now, we are not sure of this any more. Given a molecular state  $\Psi$ , the correction shows only that the factorisation can be used outside the unknown region  $N_\Psi$ .

## References

- [1] T. Jecko, B. T. Sutcliffe and R. G. Woolley, “On factorization of molecular wavefunctions”, *J. Phys. A.: Math. Theor.* **48**, 445201 (2015).
- [2] L. Pauling, and E. Bright Wilson, Jr., “Introduction to quantum mechanics with applications to Chemistry”. Dover publications 1985.