



# From classical to semiclassical non-trapping behaviour: a new proof

Thierry Jecko

## ► To cite this version:

Thierry Jecko. From classical to semiclassical non-trapping behaviour: a new proof. Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie des sciences. Série A, Sciences mathématiques, 2004. hal-03217334

**HAL Id: hal-03217334**

**<https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03217334>**

Submitted on 4 May 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# From classical to semiclassical non-trapping behaviour: a new proof.

Thierry Jecko  
IRMAR, Université de Rennes I,  
Campus Beaulieu,  
F-35042 Rennes Cédex, France  
thierry.jecko@univ-rennes1.fr

07-04-04

## Abstract

For the semiclassical Schrödinger operator with smooth long-range potential, it is well known that the boundary values of the resolvent at non-trapping energies exist and are bounded by  $O(h^{-1})$  ( $h$  being the semiclassical parameter). We present here a new proof of this result, which avoids the semiclassical Mourre theory and makes use of semiclassical measures.

**Keywords:** Schrödinger operators, semiclassical resolvent estimates, resonances, semiclassical measure, global escape function.

## 1 Introduction.

At the beginning of the eighties, E. Mourre (in [M]) introduced the now well-known Mourre theory in order to prove asymptotic completeness in  $N$ -body quantum scattering. It is indeed an essential tool to reach this result (see [D, DG, SS]). The theory is a powerful tool to get boundary values of the resolvent (acting on weighted spaces), yielding important propagations estimates.

On the semiclassical level for Schrödinger operators, this theory gives the bound  $O(h^{-1})$  ( $h$  being the semiclassical parameter) for the boundary values of the resolvent at non-trapping energy (see [RT, GM, VZ]) and this semiclassical non-trapping behaviour is even equivalent to the classical one (see [W2]).

Using a global escape function, whose existence reflects the non-trapping condition, one can derive the semiclassical Mourre estimate and then follow the Mourre theory (see [GM]), keeping the  $h$ -dependance in sight, to arrive at the bound  $O(h^{-1})$ . Our purpose here is to provide a new proof of the last step, that is to avoid the semiclassical version of

Mourre theory. In fact, we want to extend the proof ad absurdum by N. Burq (see [B]), obtained in a more general setting but for compactly supported potentials, to the case of smooth long-range potentials. In contrast to [B], we do use semiclassical Mourre (type) estimates, which we derive from the existence of escape functions.

Notice that a global escape function with constant sign is used in [VZ] but the semiclassical version of Mourre theory is avoided there. Direct estimates based on microlocal ideas and Gårding inequality lead to the desired resolvent estimates. Although similarities with the present paper occur, the strategy of the proof in [VZ] is different.

Before entering precise statements, let us mention three motivations for this project. The original one concerns the matricial version of the result (i.e. for matricial Schrödinger operators). Constatating difficulties to apply Mourre theory in this case (see [J]), it is interesting to investigate another strategy. Secondly, the proof we are about to present is rather elementary as soon as pseudodifferential calculus and the notion of semiclassical measure are known (remember that we do not show the existence of the boundary values of the resolvent). Finally, since we consider the case where the bound  $O(h^{-1})$  does not hold, we derive some information (more precise than in [B]) about this situation. Considering a sequence  $h_n \rightarrow 0$  and a sequence of normalized functions  $(a_n)$  such that the action of one boundary value of the resolvent on them blows up faster than  $h_n^{-1}$ , we show that any “semiclassical measure” of another sequence  $(b_n)$  of functions, explicitly related to the first one (in fact,  $b_n$  is roughly the boundary value of the resolvent applied to  $a_n$  conveniently normalized), is invariant along the classical flow (see Proposition 6 and Remark 2) and has compact support (see Proposition 8). Since we believe that such a situation appears when one considers a resonance (see [HS, K, Ma]), which is semiclassically relevant (i.e. of width  $O(h)$ ), we call it a “semiclassically resonant” situation. The previous results could be integrated to and be useful for the semiclassical theory of resonances.

Let us now introduce some notation and present the result. Recall that the semiclassical Schrödinger operator, in dimension  $d \geq 1$ , is given by  $P := -h^2 \Delta_x + V(x)$ , acting in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$  equipped with  $\|\cdot\|$ , its usual norm, where the semiclassical parameter  $h \in ]0; h_0]$  for some  $h_0 > 0$ ,  $\Delta_x$  denotes the Laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and where  $V$  is the multiplication operator by a real-valued function  $V$ . We require that  $V$  is  $C^\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and that there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad |\partial_x^\alpha V(x)| = O_\alpha(\langle x \rangle^{-\rho-|\alpha|}) \quad (1)$$

where  $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ . It is well known that  $P$  is self-adjoint on the domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of the Laplacian (see [RS2]). Denoting its resolvent by  $R(z) := (P - z)^{-1}$ , for  $z$  in the resolvent set of  $P$ , we are interested in its boundary values at positive energy as bounded operators from  $L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$  to  $L_{-s}^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$ , for  $s > 1/2$ . By  $L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$  we mean the weighted  $L^2$  space of measurable,  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $x \mapsto \langle x \rangle^s f(x)$  belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$ . The previously mentioned Mourre theory ensures that, for any  $\lambda > 0$  outside the pure point spectrum of  $P$ , the boundary values  $R(\lambda \pm i0)$  exist (see [M, JMP]), that is everywhere, since this pure point spectrum is absent on the positive real axis (see [CFKS, FH]).

We denote by  $p(x, \xi) := |\xi|^2 + V(x)$ ,  $(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^d$ , the symbol of  $P$  and by  $\phi^t$  the associated Hamilton flow on  $T^*\mathbb{R}^d$ . Recall that an energy  $\lambda$  is non-trapping for  $p$  if

$$\forall (x, \xi) \in p^{-1}(\lambda), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} |\phi^t(x, \xi)| = +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |\phi^t(x, \xi)| = +\infty. \quad (2)$$

Notice that this property is “open”: if  $\lambda$  is non-trapping, there exists some interval  $I$  about  $\lambda$  such that each  $\mu \in I$  is non-trapping. Such an interval is called an interval of non-trapping energies. We can now state our main result.

**Theorem 1.** (*[RT, GM, VZ]*) *Under the previous assumptions, let  $I \subset ]0; +\infty[$  be a compact interval of non-trapping energies. Then, for small enough  $h_0$ , the boundary values  $R(\lambda \pm i0)$  exist for  $\lambda \in I$  and, for any  $s > 1/2$ , there exists  $C_s > 0$  such that, uniformly for  $\lambda \in I$  and  $h \in ]0; h_0]$ ,*

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} R(\lambda \pm i0) \langle x \rangle^{-s}\| \leq C_s h^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we derive some properties of the “semiclassically resonant” situation, in particular the results mentioned above, and consider the interaction with the non-trapping condition. The new proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 3.

## 2 “Semiclassically resonant” situation.

In this section, we want to consider the “semiclassically resonant” situation. Precisely, we assume the existence of a sequence  $(f_n)_n$  of nonzero functions of  $\mathcal{D}$ , of a sequence  $(h_n)_n \in ]0; h_0]^{\mathbb{N}}$  tending to zero, and of a sequence  $(z_n)_n \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $\Re(z_n) \rightarrow \lambda > 0$  and  $\Im(z_n)/h_n \rightarrow r \geq 0$ , such that  $\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\| = 1$  and  $\|\langle x \rangle^s (P(h_n) - z_n) f_n\| = o(h_n)$ , where  $P(h_n) := -h_n^2 \Delta_x + V(x)$ . As we shall see in Section 3, such a situation appears when one assumes, in view of the proof ad absurdum of Theorem 1, that the bound (3) with  $s > 1/2$  for “+” is false. However, we do not need here to require  $s > 1/2$  but only  $s \geq 0$ . The aim of this section is to show that  $\langle x \rangle^{2s}$  times any semiclassical measure of  $(\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n)_n$  is invariant under the flow  $\phi^t$ , has compact support, and that, for  $s > 1/2$ , this semiclassical measure is nonzero. The situation here is similar to those in [B], the strategy of which we follow. However, new ingredients and new results appear in the present paper.

Let us first recall some well known facts about semiclassical measures, which can be found in [GL, N]. Let  $\mu_s$  be a semiclassical measure of the bounded sequence  $(\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n)_n$  in  $L^2$ . We set  $\mu := \langle x \rangle^{2s} \mu_s$ . Recall that  $\mu_s$  is a finite, nonnegative Radon measure on the cotangent space  $T^*\mathbb{R}^d$  (its total mass is less than 1). Furthermore, there exists a sequence  $h_n \rightarrow 0$  such that, for any  $a \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle a^w(x, h_n D) \langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n, \langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n \rangle = \int_{T^*\mathbb{R}^d} a(x, \xi) \mu_s(dx d\xi) =: \mu_s(a). \quad (4)$$

Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the  $L^2$  scalar product and  $a^w(x, hD)$  the Weyl  $h$ -quantization of the symbol  $a(x, \xi)$  defined by

$$C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}) \ni u \mapsto (a^w(x, hD)u)(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\xi \cdot (x-y)/h} a((x+y)/2, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi.$$

For the Weyl  $h$ -pseudodifferential calculus we shall use at many places in this paper, we refer to [R, N, DG]. We shall also use the functional calculus of Helffer-Sjöstrand, which can be found in [HS2, DG].

**Remark 2.** Notice that (4) holds true with  $\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n$  and  $\mu_s$  replaced by  $f_n$  and  $\mu$  respectively. If the sequence  $(f_n)_n$  is bounded in  $L^2$  then  $\mu$  is a semiclassical measure of this sequence (see [GL]). This is true for  $s = 0$  but, so far,  $(f_n)_n$  might be unbounded in  $L^2$  if  $s > 0$  (such a situation appears for  $V = 0$  and  $s \in ]0; 1/2[$ , see (18)). In this case, the measure  $\mu$  plays the role of a semiclassical measure of  $(f_n)_n$  (since (4) holds true) but might not belong to the limit points of the Wigner transform of  $(f_n)_n$  (see [GL]).

Since  $\|\langle x \rangle^s (P(h_n) - z_n) f_n\| = o(h_n)$ , the sequence  $(f_n)_n$  should accumulate microlocally on the energy shell  $p^{-1}(\lambda)$ . This is the purpose of

**Proposition 3.** *The measure  $\mu$  is supported in  $p^{-1}(\lambda)$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $a \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$  with support disjoint from  $p^{-1}(\lambda)$ . Since  $\Re(z_n) \rightarrow \lambda$ ,  $a\langle x \rangle^{-2s}(p - z_n)^{-1}$  is a bounded symbol for  $n$  large enough. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle a^w(x, h_n D) \langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n, \langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n \rangle = 0,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\|\langle x \rangle^s (P(h_n) - z_n) f_n\| = o(h_n)$ . Thus  $\mu_s(a) = 0$  by (4).  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Thanks to this localization property of  $\mu$ , (4) holds true for  $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Now we want to follow Burq's arguments (in [B]) to derive that the Poisson bracket (in the distributional sense)  $\{p, \mu\}$  equals  $r\mu$ . But it turns out that  $r = 0$ :

**Proposition 5.** *The sequence  $(\|f_n\|^2 \Im(z_n)/h_n)_n$  converges to zero. In particular,  $r = 0$ .*

**Proof:** Writing  $P$  instead of  $P(h_n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} o(h_n) &= \langle \langle x \rangle^s (P - z_n) f_n, \langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n \rangle = \langle (P - z_n) f_n, f_n \rangle = \langle f_n, (P - \bar{z}_n) f_n \rangle \\ &= \langle f_n, (P - z_n) f_n \rangle + \langle f_n, 2i\Im(z_n) f_n \rangle = o(h_n) + \langle f_n, 2i\Im(z_n) f_n \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

yielding  $\|f_n\|^2 \Im(z_n)/h_n = o(1)$ . If  $r \neq 0$  then  $\|f_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $s \geq 0$ ,  $1 = \|\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\|^2 \leq \|f_n\|^2$  and we arrive at a contradiction.  $\square$

Therefore, we get the following stronger result.

**Proposition 6.** *The measure  $\mu$  is invariant under  $\phi^t$ , that is  $\{p, \mu\} = 0$ .*

**Proof:** We follow [B]. For any  $a \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ , replacing  $P(h_n)$  by  $P$  again,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ih_n^{-1} [P, a^w(x, h_n D)] f_n, f_n \rangle &= \langle ih_n^{-1} a^w(x, h_n D) f_n, (P - \bar{z}_n) f_n \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle ih_n^{-1} a^w(x, h_n D) (P - z_n) f_n, f_n \rangle \\ &= (2\Im(z_n)/h_n) \langle a^w(x, h_n D) f_n, f_n \rangle + o(1) = o(1) \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 5, on one hand, and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ih_n^{-1}[P, a^w(x, h_n D)]f_n, f_n \rangle &= \langle \{p, a\}^w(x, h_n D)f_n, f_n \rangle + O(h_n) \\ &= \mu_s(\langle x \rangle^{2s} \{p, a\}) + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

on the other hand. Thus  $\{p, \langle x \rangle^{2s} \mu_s\} = 0$ .  $\square$

Looking for other properties of  $\mu$ , we learn from [GL] that  $\mu = 0$  roughly means that  $(f_n)_n$  accumulates at infinity (in the position variable  $x$ ). More precisely, if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| > R} \langle x \rangle^{-2s} f_n^2 dx = 0, \quad (7)$$

the total mass of  $\mu_s$  equals  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\|^2$  and, in particular,  $\mu_s$  (and therefore  $\mu$ ) is nonzero. Let  $\theta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  supported near  $\lambda$  and let  $\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| > R\}}$  be the characteristic function of the set  $\{(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^d; |x| > R\} =: T^*\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_R^*$ . To bound above the quantity  $\int_{|x| > R} \langle x \rangle^{-2s} f_n^2 dx$ , which should be close to  $\|\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| > R\}} \theta(P(h_n)) \langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\|^2$  by energy localization of  $f_n$ , we want to use a semiclassical Mourre estimate “at infinity” that looks essentially like

$$\theta(P) \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| > R\}} ih^{-1}[P, A] \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| > R\}} \theta(P) \geq c \theta(P) \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| > R\}} \theta(P) + \tilde{O}(h), \quad (8)$$

where  $c > 0$ ,  $A$  is a well chosen operator, and  $\tilde{O}(h)$  stands for a bounded operator on  $L^2$ , the norm of which is  $O(h)$ . Such an inequality roughly follows, via Gårding inequality, from the existence of an escape function at infinity. Under (1), we do have such a function, namely  $x \cdot \xi$ . Indeed, since  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$\{p, x \cdot \xi\} = 2|\xi|^2 - x \cdot \nabla V(x) = 2p - 2V(x) - x \cdot \nabla V(x) \geq c \quad (9)$$

on  $p^{-1}(\lambda)$  for  $|x|$  large enough. To show that the l.h.s. of the semiclassical Mourre estimate (8) goes to zero as in the proof of Proposition 6, we need a bounded escape function at infinity. If we could do this, we would have a Mourre estimate for  $P$  with a bounded conjugate operator  $A$ , which is impossible (see [ABG]). So we seek for a kind of weighted semiclassical Mourre estimate, that is an estimate like (8) where  $A$  would be the Weyl  $h$ -quantization of  $a$ , a bounded “escape function” at infinity, satisfying  $\{p, a\} \geq c|x|^{-b}$  with  $b > 0$  for  $|x|$  large, and where the r.h.s would decay at least like  $|x|^{-b}$ . To this end, let  $\chi_0, \chi_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $0 \leq \chi_0, \chi_1 \leq 1$ ,  $\chi_0(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 1/3$ ,  $\chi_1(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 1$ ,  $\chi_0(t) = 1$  for  $t \geq 2/3$ , and  $\chi_1(t) = 1$  for  $t \geq 2$ . Let  $R_0, \epsilon_0, \epsilon > 0$  such that

$$((x, \xi) \in p^{-1}([\lambda - \epsilon; \lambda + \epsilon]) \setminus B_{R_0}^*) \implies |\xi| \geq \epsilon_0.$$

For any  $\delta \in ]0; \min(1; \rho)[$ , where  $\rho$  describes the decay of  $V$  at infinity by (1), we set

$$a_\infty(x, \xi) := \chi_1(|x|/R_0) \chi_1(|\xi|/\epsilon_0) \left( \hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} - |x|^{-\delta} \left( \chi_0(\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi}) - \chi_0(-\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi}) \right) \right), \quad (10)$$

where  $\hat{x} := x/|x|$ . It is easy to show that  $a_\infty$  belongs, for  $m = r = 0$ , to the class  $\Sigma_{m,r}$  of smooth functions  $a$  such that

$$\forall(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, \exists C_{\alpha\beta} > 0; \forall(x, \xi) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^d, \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{-m-|\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-r}. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, for  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough, we can find  $c > 0$ ,  $R > \max(1; R_0)$  such that  $\{p, a_\infty\} \geq c\langle x \rangle^{-1-\delta}$  on  $p^{-1}([\lambda - \epsilon; \lambda + \epsilon]) \setminus B_{R-1}^*$ .

Let us mention that the global escape function used in [VZ] satisfies similar conditions, but on the full energy surface, and this is derived from the non-trapping condition. The above properties of  $a_\infty$  are independent with the non-trapping condition and follows from (9) and (1), which express a non-trapping behaviour at infinity.

Notice that  $P$  may be seen as the Weyl  $h$ -quantization of the symbol  $p$  for which (11) holds true with  $m = 0$  and  $r = -2$ . In particular, it follows from Helffer-Sjöstrand functional calculus that  $\theta(P)$  is a  $h$ -pseudodifferential operator whose symbol  $s$  is asymptotic to  $\sum_{j \geq 0} h^j s_j$ , with  $s_j \in \Sigma_{j,j}$ , and whose principal symbol  $s_0$  is  $\theta(p)$  (see [HS2, DG]). Let us now derive the main consequence of this non-trapping behaviour at infinity.

**Lemma 7.**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| > R\}} \theta(P(h_n)) \langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n\|^2 = 0$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\tau \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  with  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ ,  $\tau = 1$  on  $[-R; R]$ ,  $\text{supp } \tau \subset [-R-1; R+1]$ , and let  $\chi(x) := \tau(|x|)$ . For  $R$  large enough, there is, thanks to (1), some  $c > 0$  such that  $\{p, a_\infty\} \geq 4c\langle x \rangle^{-1-\delta}$  and  $\{p, (1-\chi)^2\}a_\infty \geq 0$  near  $p^{-1}(\lambda) \setminus B_R^*$ . Let  $\theta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^+)$  with support sufficiently close to  $\lambda$ . By Gårding inequality (cf. [DG]),

$$\theta(P_{h_n}) \left( \{p, (1-\chi)^2\} a_\infty \right)_{h_n}^w \theta(P_{h_n}) \geq \langle x \rangle^{-m} \theta(P_{h_n}) \tilde{O}(h_n) \theta(P_{h_n}) \langle x \rangle^{-m}, \quad (12)$$

for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\tilde{O}_m(h_n)$  denotes a bounded operator, the norm of which is  $O_m(h_n)$ . On the support of  $\theta(p)(1-\chi)$ ,  $(1-\chi)^2(\{p, a_\infty\} - 2c\langle x \rangle^{-1-\delta}) = b^2$ , for some real-valued  $b \in \Sigma_{1,0}$ . By the symbolic calculus in  $\Sigma_{1,0}$  (cf. [W1]),  $\theta(P_{h_n})((1-\chi)^2\{p, a_\infty\})_{h_n}^w \theta(P_{h_n})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \theta(P_{h_n}) 2c(1-\chi)^2 \langle x \rangle^{-1-\delta} \theta(P_{h_n}) + \theta(P_{h_n}) b_{h_n}^w b_{h_n}^w \theta(P_{h_n}) \\ &\quad + \langle x \rangle^{-1} \theta(P_{h_n}) \tilde{O}(h_n) \theta(P_{h_n}) \langle x \rangle^{-1} \\ &\geq 2c \langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} \theta(P_{h_n}) (1-\chi)^2 \theta(P_{h_n}) \langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} \\ &\quad + \langle x \rangle^{-1} \theta(P_{h_n}) \tilde{O}(h_n) \theta(P_{h_n}) \langle x \rangle^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Since  $(ih_n)^{-1} [P_{h_n}, ((1-\chi)^2 a_\infty)_{h_n}^w] = \{p, (1-\chi)^2 a_\infty\}_{h_n}^w + \langle x \rangle^{-1} \tilde{O}(h_n) \langle x \rangle^{-1}$ , we obtain from (12) and (13)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle ih_n^{-1} [P_{h_n}, ((1-\chi)^2 a_\infty)_{h_n}^w] \theta(P_{h_n}) f_n, \theta(P_{h_n}) f_n \right\rangle &\geq 2c \left\| (1-\chi) \theta(P_{h_n}) \langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + O(h_n) \left\| \theta(P_{h_n}) \langle x \rangle^{-1} f_n \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For some  $\tilde{\chi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the norm of the last term in (14) is bounded by

$$O(h_n) \cdot \|(1-\chi) \theta(P_{h_n}) \langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n\|^2 + O(h_n) \cdot \|\tilde{\chi} \theta(P_{h_n}) \langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n\|^2,$$

with  $\|\tilde{\chi}\theta(P_{h_n})\langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n\| = \|\tilde{\chi}\theta(P_{h_n})\langle x \rangle^{s-(1+\delta)/2} \langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\| = O(1)$ . Using  $\delta \leq 1$ , we derive from (14)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle i h_n^{-1} [P_{h_n}, ((1-\chi)^2 a_\infty)_{h_n}^w] \theta(P_{h_n}) f_n, \theta(P_{h_n}) f_n \right\rangle &\geq c \left\| (1-\chi) \theta(P_{h_n}) \langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + O(h_n). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Since  $a_\infty$  is a bounded symbol, the l.h.s of (15) tends to zero as in (5). Since  $c > 0$ , this yields the desired result.  $\square$

Coming back to  $\mu$ , we obtain the following proposition, in which we can see the effect of the relative position of  $s$  and  $1/2$ .

**Proposition 8.**  *$\mu$  has compact support in  $p^{-1}(\lambda) \cap B_R^*$ . If  $s > 1/2$ ,  $\mu$  is nonzero.*

**Proof:** Notice that the proof of Lemma 7 shows that  $(\|\theta(P)\langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n\|)_n$  is bounded. Let  $\mu_{(1+\delta)/2}$  be any semiclassical measure of this sequence. For all  $a \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have  $\mu_{(1+\delta)/2}(a) = \mu(\langle x \rangle^{-1-\delta} a)$ . By Proposition 3,  $\mu_{(1+\delta)/2}$  is supported in  $p^{-1}(\lambda)$  and Lemma 7 implies that  $\mu_{(1+\delta)/2}$  is supported in  $B_R^*$ . Thus,  $\mu_{(1+\delta)/2}$  and  $\mu$  have compact support included in  $p^{-1}(\lambda) \cap B_R^*$ . In particular, (7) holds true for the sequence  $(\theta(P)\langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n)_n$ . Thus, there exists  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta(P)\langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n\|^2$  and it is the total mass of  $\mu_{(1+\delta)/2}$ . For  $s > 1/2$ , we can choose  $\delta \leq s$ , yielding  $\|\theta(P)\langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n\|^2 \geq \|\theta(P)\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\|^2$ . But, by the energy localization of the  $f_n$ ,  $\|(1-\theta(P))\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\| = O(h_n)$ . Thus, the total mass of  $\mu_{(1+\delta)/2}$ , and therefore of  $\mu$ , is positive.  $\square$

Now we want to analyse the interaction between the invariance property of  $\mu$  in Proposition 6 and its support property in Proposition 8. To this end, we introduce

$$B_\pm(\lambda) := \left\{ (x, \xi) \in p^{-1}(\lambda); 0 \leq \pm t \mapsto \phi^t(x, \xi) \text{ is bounded} \right\} \quad (16)$$

and set  $B(\lambda) = B_+(\lambda) \cap B_-(\lambda)$ . The non-trapping condition (2) means that  $B_+(\lambda)$  and  $B_-(\lambda)$  are empty. Indeed, since  $\lambda > 0$ , we learn from [DG] that

$$\left( (x, \xi) \notin B_\pm(\lambda) \right) \implies \left( \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} |\phi^t(x, \xi)| = +\infty \right). \quad (17)$$

**Proposition 9.**  *$\mu$  has compact support in  $B(\lambda)$ . In particular, if  $\lambda$  is a non-trapping energy (cf. (2)), then  $B(\lambda)$  is empty and  $\mu = 0$ .*

**Proof:** By Proposition 8,  $\mu$  has compact support in  $p^{-1}(\lambda) \cap B_R^*$ . Let  $g_\pm \in C_0^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$  supported in some open set in  $p^{-1}(\lambda) \setminus B_\pm(\lambda)$ . By (17),

$$a_\pm(x, \xi) := - \int_0^{\pm\infty} g_\pm \circ \phi^t(x, \xi) dt$$

is a well-defined, smooth function on  $T^*\mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\{p, a_\pm\} = g_\pm$ . By Proposition 6,  $0 = \mu(\{p, a_\pm\}) = \mu(g_\pm)$ , yielding  $\text{supp } \mu \subset B_\pm(\lambda)$ . Therefore,  $\text{supp } \mu \subset B(\lambda)$ .  $\square$



**Remark 10.** Notice that we do not use a global escape function, like in [GM, VZ]. In fact, since we work on measures, we can decouple the effect of the non-trapping behaviours at infinity (Proposition 8) and on a compact set (Proposition 9).

For  $s > 1/2$  and  $\lambda$  non-trapping, Propositions 8 and 9 give the contradiction we shall use in Section 3 for the proof of Theorem 1.

What happens, if  $s \leq 1/2$ ? If  $\lambda$  is non-trapping,  $\mu = 0$  by Proposition 9 but the proof of Proposition 8 only shows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta(P)\langle x \rangle^{-(1+\delta)/2} f_n\|^2 = 0$  which does not contradict a priori  $\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\| = 1$ .

This situation really appears for  $V = 0$ , the free case for which each  $\lambda > 0$  is non-trapping, and for  $s \in [0; 1/2[$ . Consider, for  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$f_n(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_d}} e^{ih_n^{-1}k \cdot x} \langle x \rangle^s |x|^{(1-d)/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \chi(|x|/n), \quad (18)$$

where  $\chi \in C_0^\infty([1; 2]; \mathbb{R})$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi^2 = 1$  and  $m_d$  is the Lebesgue measure of the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere. By direct calculation, we see that  $\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\| = 1$ ,  $\langle x \rangle^s (-h_n^2 \Delta - |k|^2) f_n = o(h_n)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_0(x) \langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\| = 0$  for any  $\chi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\langle x \rangle^{-s'} f_n\| = 0$  for any  $s' > s$ . The two first properties show that we have a “semiclassically resonant” situation. The third one proves that  $\mu = 0$ , which also follows from Proposition 9. The fourth one implies that, for any  $s' > 1/2$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\langle x \rangle^{-s'} f_n\| = 0$ , which follows from the proof of Proposition 8. In particular, the second result of Proposition 8 is false for  $s < 1/2$ . In view of Remark 2, notice that the sequence (18) is unbounded in  $L^2$  for  $s \in ]0; 1/2[$ .

### 3 Proof of the main result.

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. As already pointed out, the boundary values  $R(\lambda \pm i0)$  of the resolvent are well-defined for  $\lambda > 0$ . It remains to prove (3).

Suppose that (3) is false for “+” and some  $s > 1/2$ . So, we can find  $h_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $N > 0$ ,  $(z_n)_n \in \mathbb{C}^N$ , and a sequence  $(v_n)_n$  of nonzero  $L^2$  functions, such that  $\Re(z_n) \in I$ ,  $0 \leq \Im(z_n) \leq Nh_n$ , and

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} R(z_n, h_n) \langle x \rangle^{-s} v_n\| > n h_n^{-1} \|v_n\|, \quad (19)$$

where  $R(z_n, h_n) := (P(h_n) - z_n)^{-1}$ . Furthermore,  $\Im(z_n)/h_n \rightarrow r \in [0; N]$  and  $\Re(z_n) \rightarrow \lambda \in I$ , possibly after extracting a subsequence.

As remarked by V. Bruneau, one can directly see that  $r = 0$  since the l.h.s of (19) is bounded above by  $\|v_n\|/|\Im(z_n)|$ .

We write the l.h.s of (19) as  $(1 + \kappa_n)(n/h_n)\|v_n\| =: \eta_n$ , for some  $\kappa_n > 0$ . Let  $w_n := v_n/\eta_n$ . Then,  $\|w_n\| = o(h_n)$ . Now, we set  $f_n := R(z_n, h_n) \langle x \rangle^{-s} w_n$ , which belongs to the domain of  $P(h_n)$ :  $\mathcal{D}$ . Clearly, this sequence  $(f_n)_n$  satisfies  $\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} f_n\| = 1$  and  $\|\langle x \rangle^s (P(h_n) - z_n) f_n\| = o(h_n)$ , that is the properties which characterise the situation we called “semiclassically resonant”. Therefore we can use the results of Section 2. Since  $s > 1/2$ , both Propositions 8 and 9 apply, yielding a contradiction. Thus the resolvent estimates (3) must hold true and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

## References

- [ABG] W.O. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel, I.V. Georgescu:  *$C_0$ -groups, commutator methods and spectral theory of  $N$ -body hamiltonians.*, Birkhäuser 1996.
- [B] N. Burq: *Semiclassical estimates for the resolvent in non trapping geometries.* Int. Math. Res. Notices 2002, no 5, 221-241.
- [CFKS] H.L. Cycon, R.G. Froese, W. Kirsch, B. Simon: *Schrödinger operators with applications to quantum mechanics and global geometry.* Springer Verlag 1987.
- [D] J. Dereziński: *Asymptotic completeness for  $N$ -particle long-range quantum systems.* Ann. of Math. 138 (1993) 427-476.
- [DG] J. Dereziński, C. Grard: *Scattering theory of classical and quantum  $N$ -particle systems.* Springer-Verlag 1997.
- [FH] R.G. Froese, I. Herbst: *Exponential bounds and absence of positive eigenvalues for  $N$ -body Schrödinger operators.* Comm. Math. Phys. 87, 429-447 (1982).
- [GL] P. Gérard, E. Leichtnam: *Ergodic properties of eigenfunctions for the Dirichlet problem.* Duke Math. J., vol. 71, No 2, 1993.
- [GM] C. Gérard, A. Martinez: *Principe d'absorption limite pour des opérateurs de Schrödinger à longue portée.* C.R. Acad. Sci. 306, 121-123, 1988.
- [HS] B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand: *Rsonances en limite semi-classique.* Bull. Soc. Math. France 114, Nos 24-25 (1986).
- [HS2] B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand: *Opérateurs de Schrödinger avec champs magnétiques faibles et constants.* Exposé No. XII, Séminaire EDP, février 1989, Ecole Polytechnique.
- [J] Th. Jecko: *Semiclassical resolvent estimates for Schrödinger matrix operators with eigenvalues crossing.*, Math. Nachr. 257, 36-54 (2003).
- [JMP] A. Jensen, E. Mourre, P. Perry: *Multiple commutator estimates and resolvent smoothness in quantum scattering theory.* Ann. Inst. Henri Poincar, vol. 41, no 2, 1984, p. 207-225.
- [K] M. Klein: *On the absence of resonances for Schrödinger operators with non-trapping potentials in the classical limit.* Comm. Math. Phys. 106, 485-494 (1986).
- [Ma] A. Martinez: *Resonance free domains for non globally analytic potentials.* Ann. Henri Poincar 4 (2002) 739-756.
- [M] E. Mourre: *Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators.* Commun. in Math. Phys. 78, 391-408, 1981.

- [N] F. Nier: *A semiclassical picture of quantum scattering*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 29 (1996), no 2, 149-183.
- [RS2] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Tome II : Fourier Analysis, Self-adjointness*. Academic Press 1979.
- [R] D. Robert: *Autour de l'approximation semi-classique*. Birkhäuser 1987.
- [RT] D. Robert, H. Tamura: *Semiclassical estimates for resolvents and asymptotics for total cross-section*. Ann. IHP Vol. 46, 1987, p. 415-442.
- [SS] I.M. Sigal, A. Soffer: *The  $N$ -particle scattering problem: asymptotic completeness for short-range quantum systems*. Ann. of Math. 125 (1987) 35-108.
- [VZ] A. Vasy, M. Zworski: *Semiclassical estimates in asymptotically euclidean scattering*. Comm. Math. Phys. 212, no 1, 205-217 (2000).
- [W1] X.P. Wang: *Time-decay of scattering solutions and resolvent estimates for semiclassical Schrödinger operators*. J. of Diff. Eq. 71, p. 348-396 (1988).
- [W2] X.P. Wang: *Semiclassical resolvent estimates for  $N$ -body Schrödinger operators*. J. Funct. Anal. 97, 466-483 (1991).