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Fathi Berrabah, Marie Bourcy, Alexis Eschstruth, Anne Cayrel, Ibtissem Guefrachi, Peter Mergaert, Jiangqi Wen, Viviane Jean, Kirankumar M. S. Mysore, Benjamin Gourion and Pascal Ratet

Introduction

Legumes and rhizobia establish symbiotic interactions that result in the formation of root organs (the nodules) housing bacteria that fix atmospheric nitrogen for the benefit of the plant (Oldroyd et al., 2011; Udvardi & Poole, 2013). The symbiosis is efficient as a result of the chronic and massive bacterial invasion of the nodule cells. Despite the massive colonization of their tissues, plants do not develop defense reactions in response to the endosymbionts (bacteroids) (Berrabah et al., 2014). The relationship between immunity and symbiosis has been investigated before, but the majority of these studies focused on the early step of the symbiosis, that is, the infection process (Zamioudis & Pieterse, 2012). How plants tolerate massive intracellular infection by a foreign organism might also have impacts on other fundamental biological questions such as the evolutionary acquisition of endosymbionts, as well as the maintenance of intracellular pathogenic bacteria.

The recently released genome sequence (Young et al., 2011), the accumulation of transcriptomics data gathered in a gene expression atlas (Benedito et al., 2008; He et al., 2009) and the existence of large collections of tagged mutants (Tadege et al., 2008; Iantcheva et al., 2009; Cheng et al., 2011) with an increasing number of available flanking sequence tags (FSTs; http://bioinfo4.noble.org/mutant/database.php) make *Medicago truncatula* one of the favorite models to study rhizobium–legume interactions. In *M. truncatula*, nodules have a persistent meristem in the apical region, referred to as zone I, which is separated from zone III, in which bacteria fix nitrogen, by zone II, in which bacteria invade plant cells (Vasse et al., 1990). In zone III of *M. truncatula* nodules, the infected cells produce nodule-specific cysteine-rich (NCR) antimicrobial peptides that trigger the terminal differentiation of bacteroids (Van de Velde et al., 2010). As a consequence of the action of these peptides, the bacteroids are elongated and become polyploid (Kondorosi et al., 2013). In parallel, in zone III, the plant nuclei undergo endoreduplication, resulting in an endopolyploidy level up to 64C (Maunoury et al., 2010). Nodule aging causes the development of a fourth zone (IV) in which both bacteria and plant cells senescence (Vasse et al., 1990).

Summary

- Rhizobia and legumes establish symbiotic interactions leading to the production of root nodules, in which bacteria fix atmospheric nitrogen for the plant's benefit. This symbiosis is efficient because of the high rhizobia population within nodules. Here, we investigated how legumes accommodate such bacterial colonization.
- We used a reverse genetic approach to identify a *Medicago truncatula* gene, SymCRK, which encodes a cysteine-rich receptor-like kinase that is required for rhizobia maintenance within the plant cells, and performed detailed phenotypic analyses of the corresponding mutant.
- The *Medicago truncatula* symCRK mutant developed nonfunctional and necrotic nodules. A nonarginine asparate (nonRD) motif, typical of receptors involved in innate immunity, is present in the SymCRK kinase domain. Similar to the dnf2 mutant, bacteroid differentiation defect, defense-like reactions and early senescence were observed in the symCRK nodules. However, the dnf2 and symCRK nodules differ by their degree of colonization, which is higher in symCRK. Furthermore, in contrast to dnf2, symCRK is not a conditional mutant.
- These results suggest that in *M. truncatula* at least two genes are involved in the symbiotic control of immunity. Furthermore, phenotype differences between the two mutants suggest that two distinct molecular mechanisms control suppression of plant immunity during nodulation.
We recently described the DNF2 gene that is required for bacteroid differentiation and persistence in *M. truncatula* (Bourcy *et al.*, 2013b). The nodules of the *dnf2* mutant display typical traits, suggesting defense-like reactions such as the induction of defense genes, the accumulation of phenolic compounds and the death of the symbiotic partner (Bourcy *et al.*, 2013a,b; Bertabah *et al.*, 2014). DNF2 encodes a nodule-specific phosphatidylinositol phospholipase C X domain containing protein, but the link between plant defense repression and the biochemical activity of DNF2 has not yet been described. To get deeper insight into symbiotic control of plant immunity, we searched for *DNF2* coexpressed genes and report here the discovery of a second gene required to suppress plant defense-like reactions in nodules.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant and bacterial cultures**

Except when explicitly mentioned, *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn ecotypes R108 (Hoffmann *et al.*, 1997) and its corresponding mutants *dnf2*–1 (Pislaru *et al.*, 2012; Bourcy *et al.*, 2013b), and all mutants described in Supporting Information Table S1 were cultivated *in vitro* on buffered nodulation medium (BNM) (Ehrhardt *et al.*, 1992) solidified with 2% bacto-agar. Phytagel (0.8%) was also used as an alternative solidifying agent. For flow cytometry material preparation, plants were cultivated in growth chamber on a mixture of perlite and sand (1/2, v/v). *Sinorhizobium meliloti* bacA, 2001), strain 1021 (Rosenberg *et al.*, 1981), as well as *S. medicae* strain WSM419 (Howieson & Ewing, 1986), were cultivated in yeast extract broth (YEB) medium (Krall *et al.*, 2002). The strain used for each experiment is indicated in the corresponding figure legend.

**Complementation experiment**

*SymCRK*, including its 4 kbp upstream region corresponding to the putative promoter region, was amplified using primers BG44 5’-CACCAGATTGTGGCATCAGCTTATCC-3’ and MrRec3 5’-TATGACAAAACCTTGAACCTTTG-3’, cloned into the pENTR/D-Topo (Invitrogen) and transferred to pK7WG. *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* strain Arqua1 was used to transform the root system of *symCRK* mutant (NF0737). Hairy root transformation was performed as described earlier (Boisson-Dernier *et al.*, 2001). Briefly, after germination, plantlet root tips were removed using a scalpel and the cut roots were soaked in an *A. rhizogenes* suspension. Plants were then grown on BNM solid medium containing kanamycin.

**Identification of the PLA2 mutant**

Polymerase chain reaction screening was performed as described in (Tadege *et al.*, 2008; Cheng *et al.*, 2011, 2013) using PLA2-specific primers PLA2a 5’-CCACAAAAATGCTTCTCAGTACTTTGT-3’ and PLA2b 5’-CCTTGTTAATTCTTGTGAAAATACCA-3’.

**Acetylene reduction assay**

Acetylene reduction assay (ARA) was conducted on individual plants with a protocol modified from Koch & Evans (1966). Briefly, 14 d after inoculation, individual whole plants were placed into 10 ml glass vials sealed with rubber septa. Acetylene (250 µl) was injected into each vial. Gas samples (200 µl) were withdrawn after at least 1 h incubation at room temperature and the ethylene that was produced was measured by GC. The assay was done in triplicate.

**SymCRK cDNA cloning**

*SymCRK* cDNA was cloned into PEntr/D-Topo after amplification from cDNAs prepared from R108 nodules using primers BG54 5’-caccATGGCTTACAATCTGAAACAAAAACTG-3’ and MrRec3 5’-TATGACAAAACCTTGAACCTTTG-3’, cloned into the pENTR/D-Topo (Invitrogen) and transferred to pK7WG.

**RT-qPCR**

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) were performed as previously described (Bourcy *et al.*, 2013b). The primers used in this study are listed in Table S2 and have been described previously (Gao *et al.*, 2007; Samac *et al.*, 2011; Nars *et al.*, 2013).

**Microscopy**

Semithin sections (7 µm) of nodules were prepared as previously described (Van de Velde *et al.*, 2006). For the live/dead staining procedure, nodule sections were stained, prepared and observed as previously described (Haag *et al.*, 2011; Bourcy *et al.*, 2013b). Phenolic compounds were stained using potassium permanganate and methylene blue (Vasse *et al.*, 1993) and observed as previously described (Bourcy *et al.*, 2013b).

**Flow cytometry analysis**

Bacteroid material preparation and analysis were essentially conducted as described by Mergaert *et al.* (2006). Briefly, bacteroids were extracted from nodules 35 d after inoculation. Nodules were homogenized in a mortar and pestle with an ice-cold bacteroid extraction buffer (BBE: 125 mM KCl, 50 mM Na-succinate, 50 mM N-[Tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl]-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid sodium salt (TES) buffer, pH 7, 1% BSA). In order to eliminate debris, homogenates were centrifuged three times at 100 g at 18°C for 10 min and supernatants containing bacteroids were collected and centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min. Bacteroid pellets were resuspended in 100 µl of BEB, and heated at 70°C for 10 min. Bacteroids were stained with 50 µg ml−1 4’,6’-diamidino-2-phenylindol (DAPI). Measurements were performed with a Beckman-Coulter (Danvers, MA, USA) ELITE ESP flow
cytometer. For nuclei preparation, 35-d-old nodules were treated as described by Mergaert et al. (2006).

**Results**

Identification of DNF2 coregulated genes as candidates for repression of plant immunity during the symbiotic process

In order to identify new genes potentially involved in the control of plant defenses during symbiosis, we searched for DNF2 coregulated genes, hypothesizing that some of them might be involved in plant immunity suppression. The *M. truncatula* gene expression atlas (MrGEA) was used for this purpose. Based on predicted function and on Pearson correlation coefficient calculated from expression data, three candidate genes were selected. These genes are annotated as a phospholipase A2 (*PLA2*), a sterol 3-β-glucosyltransferase and a cysteine-rich receptor-like kinase (*CR RLK*, Fig. 1) whose expression profile had the highest similarity with DNF2 (Fig. S1). Table S1 describes the Pearson correlation for the three candidate genes. *Tnt1*-tagged mutant lines with *Tnt1* insertion in these genes were identified from the Noble Foundation *M. truncatula* mutant collection (http://bioinfo4.noble.org/mutant/database.php; Table S1, Fig. S1; Tadege et al., 2008). Mutant lines harboring insertions in *CR RLK* (NF0737) and in the sterol 3-β-glucosyltransferase gene (NF4831) were identified by searching the FST database, while the mutant with insertion in *PLA2* (NF6259) was identified by PCR-based reverse screening as previously described (Cheng et al., 2013). In order to determine if the *Tnt1* insertion in these genes alters nodule development and symbiosis, segregation analysis was performed on the T2 offspring of the mutant lines. Heterozygous, homozygous mutant or wild-type-like plants at the candidate loci were selected from the progenies of these lines (Table S3) and then tested for their capacity to establish symbiosis in *vitro*. Based on nodule pigmentation, only plants with insertion in the *CR RLK* locus and originating from the line NF0737 showed a defect in the symbiotic process and did not produce typical pink-colored functional nodules (Table S3, Fig 2b). Mutants with insertions in the other two genes, sterol 3-β-glucosyltransferase and *PLA2*, did not show symbiotic defects. Plants homozygous for insertion at the *CR RLK* locus had progenies with only white or necrotic nodules (Table S3). By contrast, progenies of a plant heterozygous for insertion at the *CR RLK* locus segregated as plants with wild-type nodules (19 plants) and plants with necrotic nodules (nine plants; Table S3), suggesting a strong link between the nodule phenotype and the mutation. In order to prove the

![Fig. 1](Image)

**Fig. 1** SymCRK is a member of the nonarginine aspartate (nonRD) kinase family. (a) The SymCRK gene structure; SymCRK has seven exons (black boxes) and six introns (2839 bp from ATG to Stop). The position of the *Tnt1* insertion disrupting the third exon of SymCRK in the NF0737 line (1065 bp downstream the ATG) is indicated by an arrow. (b) The predicted protein domains. A predicted signal peptide is present on the N-terminal (SP), followed by two cysteine-rich domains of unknown function (DUF26), a transmembrane domain and a serine/threonine protein kinase domain. The activation loop of the protein kinase domain harbors a nonRD motif represented by a green C and red D. This motif is typical of pattern recognition receptor such as *At_EFR* and *At_FLS2* and is not present in most kinases, such as *At_PEPRI*, *At_THESEUS* and *At_WAK1*, which harbor an RD motif represented by a blue R and a red D.
requirement of *CR RLK* for the symbiotic process, the gene was cloned with its native promoter region (i.e. ~4 kbp upstream of the predicted start codon) and was expressed using hairy root transformation in NF0737 roots. In contrast to the NF0737 line that displayed white or necrotic nodules, the complemented root systems produced pink nodules similar to that of the wild-type R108 (Fig. 2b,c). Unambiguously, these results indicate that the *CR RLK* requirement of the plants using the ARA. In contrast to *dnf2*, which displayed the conditional phenotype (i.e. fix⁻ on Phytagel and fix⁺ on agar), *symCRK*-nodulated plants were unable to reduce acetylene on both substrates tested (Fig. 3). Together, our data support an essential role for *SymCRK* in nitrogen fixation.

### SymCRK is required for normal nodule zonation

In order to get further insight into the role of *SymCRK* during symbiosis, histological analyses of the mutant nodules were performed. The initial steps of the symbiotic process were not altered in the *symCRK* mutant. Indeed, the mutant nodules displayed the typical elongated shape of indeterminate nodules, and symbiotic cells seemed to be correctly infected (Fig. 4). However, in *symCRK* nodules, zone III was drastically reduced compared to the wild-type. This experiment has been reproduced with similar results.

---

**Fig. 3 SymCRK is required for nitrogen fixation.** Acetylene reduction assay (ARA) reflecting nitrogenase activity was performed on nodulated *Medicago truncatula* plants cultivated on buffered nodulation medium solidified with agar (closed bars) or Phytagel (open bars). Nodulation was induced by *Sinorhizobium medicae* WSM419, and acetylene reduction activity per plant (n = 3) was measured 2 wk after inoculation. The ARA is expressed as nmole ethylene produced h⁻¹ per plant. Error bars, ± SE.
with the wild-type nodules and a senescent zone with plant cells devoid of bacteria was observed. Comparison with 

dnf2 

nodule sections revealed that the modification of the nodule zonation is less severe in the 
symCRK 

mutant than in the 
dnf2 

mutant in which zone III is smaller and zone IV is larger than in wild-type plants. This observation was further confirmed by comparisons of the endoreduplication indexes (EI) determined using flow cytometry analysis of the nodule nuclei (Fig. S5, Table S4). The EI was higher in the 
symCRK 

than in 
dnf2 

(Fig. S5, Table S4). In addition, in the 
symCRK 

nodules, degradation of material was observed in the vacuoles of infected cells (Fig. 4f).

SymCRK is required for bacteroids differentiation

Bacteroid differentiation in 
symCRK 

was evaluated by flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 5A). For this experiment, the 

Sinorhizobium meliloti strain Sm1021 was used together with its derivative mutant 

bacA , which is unable to undergo terminal bacteroid differentiation (Glazebrook et al., 1993). A 

bacA mutant does not exist in another rhizobium strain that can efficiently nodulate 

M. truncatula 

R108. The DNA content of the bacteroids extracted from 
dnf2 

and 
symCRK 

nodules induced by wild-type 

S. meliloti 

(Sm1021) were similar to bacteroids prepared from wild-type 

M. truncatula 

R108 plants infected by the 

S. meliloti 

bacA mutant (Fig. 5A). In agreement with this observation, analysis of confocal microscopy images revealed that the bacteroids in 
symCRK 

and 
dnf2 

mutants were smaller than those in the wild-type 

M. truncatula 

plants (Fig. 5B). Wild-type, 
dnf2 

and 
symCRK 

bacteroids had average sizes of 7, 3 and 1.5 μm, respectively. Bacteroids of the 

bacA mutant on wild-type plants had the same size as 
symCRK 

bacteroids (Fig. 5B).

As mentioned earlier, in 

M. truncatula , terminal differentiation of bacteroid is triggered by NCR peptides. In order to determine if the lack of bacteroid differentiation observed in 
symCRK 

is correlated with reduced NCR production, expression of six genes encoding NCR peptides was investigated by RT-qPCR in nodules of 

M. truncatula 

(R108) wild-type, 
symCRK , 
dnf2 

and in 

bacA-induced nodules (Fig. 5C). Expression of the early NCR
peptide encoding gene NCR121 (MtGEA) was not altered in any of the tested nodules. By contrast, expression of later NCR peptide encoding NCR genes (NCR094, NCR099, NCR001, NCR006 and NCR109; MtGEA) was drastically reduced in nodules of the symCRK and dnf2 mutants, as well as in the nodules induced by the bacA4 mutant in wild-type plants. Interestingly, NCR109 and NCR094, whose expression is induced earlier than NCR099, NCR001 and NCR006 during the symbiotic process, were less reduced (Fig. 5C). These data indicate that, similar to that of dnf2 mutant, symCRK bacteroids are altered in the differentiation process and that this alteration is correlated with a defect in NCR expression.

SYMCRK is required to repress defense-like reactions in nodules

In a previous study, DNF2 was shown to be required to prevent phenolics accumulation and nodule necrosis and to repress defense-related gene expression (Bourcy et al., 2013b; Berrabah et al., 2014). In order to determine if SymCRK also prevents defense-like reactions in the nodules, accumulation of phenolic compounds was investigated in the nodules formed by the symCRK mutant by staining nodule sections with KMnO4/methylene blue (Vasse et al., 1993). Fig. 6(A) represents fixed nodule sections. Phenolics are revealed by blue pigmentation in panels (b), (d) and (f). The brown pigmentation observed in images (b), (d), and (f) correspond to KMnO4 residues. Similar to dnf2 nodules, symCRK displayed accumulation of phenolics at the base of the nodules induced by both S. medicae and S. meliloti (Figs 6A–c–f, S6). In necrotic nodules, accumulation of phenolics colocalized with necrosis. In order to investigate the expression of defense gene markers, RT-qPCR experiments were performed using RNAs extracted from wild-type, dnf2 and symCRK nodules induced by both S. medicae and S. meliloti. The expression of six defense gene markers, that is, the PR10 gene (Samac et al., 2011), chitinase (Nars et al., 2013), vegetative storage protein (VSP), phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), β-endoglucanase (BGL; Gao et al., 2007) and nondisease resistance 1 (NDR1; Century et al., 1997), was evaluated. In the nodules of both dnf2 and symCRK, expressions of all the six defense genes tested were stronger than in the wild-type inoculated with S. medicae or S. meliloti (Figs 6B and S6, respectively). The viability of the bacteroids in the wild-type, dnf2 and symCRK nodules was also evaluated with the life-dead staining procedure that stains either green or red for living or dead bacteria, respectively (Haag et al., 2011). In agreement with the defense-like reactions observed in nodules of the two mutants, bacteroid viability was altered as compared with the wild-type. However, in contrast to dnf2 in which all bacteria were dead in zone III, symCRK zone III displayed a mixture of dead and live bacteroids (Fig. 6C).

Thus, taken together, the accumulation of defense-like phenolic compounds, the induction of defense marker genes and the

**Fig. 5** SymCRK is required for bacteroid differentiation. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindol (DAPI)-stained bacteroids extracted from Medicago truncatula wild-type (WT; a, d), dnf2 (b) and symCRK plants (c) 35 d after inoculation with Sinorhizobium meliloti Sm1021 (a–c) or with the Sm1021 bacA4 mutant (d). Results obtained with isolated bacteroids and free-living bacteria are represented in green and in blue, respectively. On these diagrams, the y-axis represents the numbers of counted objects, and the x-axis represents DAPI fluorescence measurements on a log scale (reflecting the DNA contents). (B) Bacteroid size determined by image analysis: wild-type, dnf2 and symCRK bacteroids are an average of 7.3 and 1.5 μm long, respectively. symCRK bacteroids display the same size as the bacA4 mutant and free-living bacteria (1.5 μm). Error bars, ± SD from 60 measurements. A parametrical one-way ANOVA test (P-value < 2.2e−16) and a post hoc Tukey-Kramer test (95%) were performed, and statistically identical values are attributed with identical letters (n = 60). (C) NCR gene expression was evaluated by reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) in the indicated nodules 14 d after inoculation with Sm1021 or Sm1021 bacA4. Fold change vs WT is presented after normalization with an Actin gene as an internal control. Error bars, ± SE from two technical replicates from two independent experiments. Expression of late nodule-specific cysteine-rich (NCR) peptides is altered in the defective nodules.
bacteroid viability is altered in nodules of Sinorhizobium medicae 21 dai with dnf2, indicating that SymCRK is present in the cystein rich superfamilly. Interestingly, a close homolog of SymCRK is involved in the negative regulation of basal resistance. In nodules, the negative effect of SymCRK on plant defense-like reactions resembles the phenotypes of HvCRK1 silencing and Arabidopsis crk20 mutant. However, sequence relationships between these CRK members do not correlate with the suggested functions. For example, At_CRK20 and At_CRK5 cluster in the same group (Figs S4, S7).

Interestingly, the DUF26 domain is not restricted to CRKs and is also present in nonkinase proteins. Amongst these is the ginkobilobin-2, a protein accumulated in Ginkgo biloba seeds that displays antifungal activity (Sawano et al., 2007). The structure of ginkobilobin-2 has been resolved (Miyakawa et al., 2007), but the molecular basis of its antifungal activity remains unknown. Proteins containing DUF26 domains were also associated with other plant–fungus interactions. Upon infection with the phytopathogenic fungus Magnaporthe oryzae, secreted DUF26 containing proteins are more abundant in intercellular washing fluids of plant immunity.

A few functional studies support a role for the CRK members in plant immunity: in Arabidopsis, overexpression of either CRK5 or CRK13 enhanced resistance against P. syringae pv. tomato strain DC3000 (Chen et al., 2003; Acharya et al., 2007). Such a positive effect of CRK on plants challenged by a pathogenic organism is not a general feature of CRK as the loss of function of CRK20 in Arabidopsis also results in increased resistance to P. syringae pv. tomato strain DC3000 (Ederli et al., 2011). Similar to CRK20, transient gene silencing of HvCRK1 in barley (Hordeum vulgare) triggers an enhanced resistance against the biotrophic fungus Blumeria graminis (the causal agent of powdery mildew; Rayapuram et al., 2012). The authors suggested that HvCRK1 is involved in the negative regulation of basal resistance.

Partial mortality of the bacteroids suggest that, similar to DNF2, the SymCRK gene is required to repress defense-like reactions during the symbiotic process.

**Discussion**

By using genomics resources available for M. truncatula (Benedito et al., 2008; He et al., 2009; Cheng et al., 2011), we identified a gene required for nitrogen fixation, bacteroid differentiation, preventing nodule early senescence, and preventing defense-like reactions in nodules. This gene is expressed specifically during the symbiotic process and encodes a receptor-like kinase of the cystein rich superfamilly. Interestingly, a close homolog of SymCRK is present in the L. japonicus genome and is also specifically expressed in nodules (Verdier et al., 2013), suggesting a common function for these genes.

Cysteine-rich kinase family members generally harbor two extracellular cystein-rich motifs (Chen, 2001) of unknown function (the DUF26 domains). Little is known about this superfamilly, but in Arabidopsis, some members were identified as being up-regulated upon treatment with the defense-related phytohormone salicylic acid (SA) or after inoculation with the phytopathogenic bacteria Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato strain DC3000 (Du & Chen, 2000). The transcriptional behavior of the complete superfamilly of CRK has been studied in Arabidopsis and transcription of some members is induced upon treatment with H2O2, the plant immunity activator peptide flg22, SA, and O3 (Wraczek et al., 2010), thus suggesting a role for these receptors in plant immunity.
rice (Shenton et al., 2012). All these data suggest a functional link between DUF26-containing proteins and phytopathogenic interactions. However, the role of DUF26 might not be restricted to biotic stress responses. Indeed, reduction of CRK36 expression by RNAi in Arabidopsis triggers a higher sensitivity to the stress hormone ABA and to osmotic stress during the post-germination growth phase (Tanaka et al., 2012). This suggests that the CRK family might be involved in response to both biotic and abiotic stresses.

An intriguing feature of SymCRK is the presence of a nonRD motif in the activation loop of the protein kinase domain. Association of a nonRD motif to this class of receptor kinases is specific to legumes. In plants and animals, the nonRD motif is associated with PRR (Dardick & Ronald, 2006; Dardick et al., 2012; Schwessinger & Ronald, 2012) perceiving microbial invaders and triggering pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) (Zipfel, 2008; Boller & Felix, 2009; Segonzac & Zipfel, 2011). In this context, it is interesting to note the presence of a signal peptide and the expression of SymCRK in zones II and III (Roux et al., 2014) of the nodules. These together suggest that SymCRK could be localized to the symbosome membrane so that the extracellular DUF26 domains might be in close vicinity with the bacteroids. Considering the induction of defense-like reactions in nodules of the symCRK mutant, it is thus tempting to speculate that during evolution legumes coopted defense perception elements to build a molecular mechanism preventing the elimination of the symbiont.

Influence of innate immunity during rhizobia/legume symbioses has been studied previously, notably in Lotus and Medicago. These studies indicated that both SA, the defense-related phytohormone (Stacey et al., 2006), and Flg22, a PTI elicitor (Lopez-Gomez et al., 2012), have a negative impact on the early steps of the symbiotic process. In addition, transcriptome analysis indicates that defenses can be elicited during the first step of the symbiosis, but that bacterial exopoly saccharides repress the development of the plant defenses (Jones et al., 2008). Nodulation factors, produced by rhizobia, are also able to suppress immunity triggered by microbial-associated molecular pattern on soybean as well as on nonlegume plants, such as corn, tomato and Arabidopsis (Liang et al., 2013). These data, as well as other recent studies (Scheidle et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2010; Okazaki et al., 2013; Rey et al., 2013), suggest a major role for immunity modulation in the successful establishment of the symbiotic process. However, these studies did not address the role of immunity in the later steps of the symbiosis (chronic infection), which is reported in this manuscript.

What triggers defense-like reactions in dnf2 and symCRK nodules remains to be elucidated. Despite similarities between the two mutants, symCRK does not have the conditional phenotype observed for dnf2. Furthermore, in the dnf2 nodules, the infected zone is smaller and the symbiotic cells are less well differentiated than in the symCRK mutant, and in addition bacteroid loss of viability is higher in the dnf2 nodules. All these differences suggest that two similar but distinct pathways can activate plant defense-like reactions in the nodule and the action of only one, repressed by DNF2, depends on the environmental conditions. The second one is repressed by SymCRK, and whether the two pathways are independent or converge should be the focus of future studies and will require the analyses of the dnf2 symCRK double mutant.
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